arXiv:math/0301083v1 [math.AT] 9 Jan 2003

KOSZUL DUALITY
AND
EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY FOR TORI

MATTHIAS FRANZ

Abstract. Let T be a torus. We show that Koszul duality can be used to compute the equivariant cohomology of topological T-spaces as well as the cohomology of pull backs of the universal T-bundle. The new features are that no further assumptions about the spaces are made and that the coefficient ring may be arbitrary. This gives in particular a Cartan-type model for the equivariant cohomology of a T-space with arbitrary coefficients. Our method works for intersection homology as well.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Algebraic Koszul duality 6
1.1. Notation and terminology 6
1.2. Twisting cochains 7
1.3. The Koszul complex 7
1.4. Weak **S**-comodules 8
1.5. Weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules 9
1.6. Koszul functors 9
2. Simplicial Koszul duality 11
2.1. Notation 11
2.2. The Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps 11
2.3. The Steenrod map 13
2.4. Groups and group actions 14
2.5. Spaces over a base space 15
2.6. Fibre bundles 15
2.7. Classifying spaces and universal bundles 16
2.8. Simplicial Koszul functors 17
3. Comparing the functors 20
3.1. Tori 20
3.2. The first natural transformation 22
3.3. The second natural transformation 24
3.4. The main theorem 26
3.5. Naturality 26
4. Cohomology 28
4.1. The singular Cartan model 28

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S37, 55N91; Secondary 55N10, 55N33, 55U15.

The author was partially supported by a grant of the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst.

4.2. The pull back of universal bundles 29
4.3. A quasi-isomorphism $C^*(BT) \to H^*(BT)$ 29
5. Intersection homology 31
5.1. Motivation 31
5.2. Allowable subsets 33
5.3. The main theorem for allowable subsets 34
References 36

Introduction

Let $T = (S^1)^r$ be a torus acting smoothly on some manifold X. The first definition of the T-equivariant cohomology $H^*_T(X)$, given by H. Cartan, was as the homology of the differential graded algebra

$$\mathbf{S}^* \otimes \Omega^*(X)^T, \qquad d(\sigma \otimes \omega) = \sigma \otimes d\omega + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_i \sigma \otimes x_i \cdot \omega, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{S}^*$ is the algebra of polynomials on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of T and $\Omega^*(X)^T$ the complex of T-invariant differential forms on X, on which $\mathfrak{t}$ acts by contraction with generating vector fields. Moreover, (x_i) denotes a basis of $\mathfrak{t}$ with dual basis $(\xi_i) \in \mathbf{S}^2$. (This so-called Cartan model exists, like all constructions we are about to recall, for arbitrary compact connected Lie groups, cf. [19]. We are only concerned with the torus case, however, and restriction thereto will allow for a coherent presentation of previous results.)

Later on, A. Borel defined equivariant cohomology for any topological T-space and any coefficient ring R as the singular cohomology of the space $ET \times_T X = (ET \times X)/T$, where ET is a contractible space on which T acts freely. For manifolds and real coefficients, this Borel construction gives the same result as the Cartan model.

A few years ago, Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [15] related equivariant cohomology to Koszul duality as defined by Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand [3]: They remarked that the complex (1) arises from $\Omega^*(X)^T$ by applying the Koszul functor t, which carries differential graded modules over $\mathbf{\Lambda}_* = \bigwedge^* \mathfrak{t} = H_*(T)$, the homology of the torus, to those over $\mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT)$, the cohomology of the classifying space of T. (The multiplication of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$ is induced by that of T.) They went on to show that application of the quasi-inverse Koszul functor h to a suitably defined differential $\mathbf{S}^*$-module of differential forms on $ET \times_T X$ gives a differential $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module

$$\Omega^*(ET \underset{T}{\times} X) \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}^*, \qquad d(\omega \otimes \alpha) = d\omega \otimes \alpha + (-1)^{|\omega|} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_i \cdot \omega \otimes x_i \cdot \alpha \tag{2}$$

whose homology is isomorphic to $H^*(X)$ as $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module. (Here $\mathbf{\Lambda}^* = H^*(T)$ is the cohomology of T.) They actually prove a much more general statement that for instance also subsumes intersection homology of subanalytic spaces.

Shortly afterwards, Allday and Puppe [1] pointed out that the appearance of Koszul duality here reflects an underlying topological duality between the category T-***Space*** of T-spaces on the one hand and the category ***Space***-BT of spaces over BT on the other. (A space Y over BT is map $Y \to BT$.) The Borel construction is a functor

$$\boldsymbol{t}\colon T\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space} \to \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT,$$

and pulling back the universal T-bundle $ET \to BT$ along $Y \to BT$ gives a functor in the other direction,

$$\boldsymbol{h}\colon \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT \to T\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space}.$$

From this point of view Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson's result for ordinary cohomology can be stated as follows:

$$H^*\big(t\Omega^*(X)^T\big) \cong H^*\big(\Omega^*(\boldsymbol{t}X)\big) \qquad \text{as } \mathbf{S}^*\text{-modules} \tag{3a}$$

$$H^*\big(h\,\Omega^*(Y)\big) \cong H^*\big(\Omega^*(\boldsymbol{h}Y)^T\big) \qquad \text{as } \mathbf{\Lambda}_*\text{-modules} \tag{3b}$$

for smooth $X \in T\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space}$ and $Y = \boldsymbol{t}X$. (We have $H^*(\boldsymbol{h}Y) = H^*(\boldsymbol{ht}X) \cong H^*(X)$ because $\boldsymbol{ht}X \cong ET \times X$ is homotopy-equivalent to X.)

The main purpose of this paper is to generalise this to an arbitrary coefficient ring R instead of the reals. We will use singular homology (and cohomology) rather than subanalytic chains, and this will allow us to drop the assumption of subanalyticity made in [15].

Note that simply replacing de Rham complexes by singular cochains in (3) does not make sense: While it is easy to define a $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-action on $C^*(X;R)$, X a T-space, it is not possible in general to lift the $\mathbf{S}^*$-action on the cohomology of a space Y over BT to $C^*(Y;R)$. The reason for this is that the cup product of singular cochains is inherently non-commutative. (It is only commutative up to homotopy, hence commutative in cohomology.) As a consequence, if one pulls back representatives ξ_i' of the generators $\xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT;R)$ along $p\colon Y \to BT$ and imitates definition (2) with $\xi_i \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cup p^*(\xi_i')$ for $\gamma \in C^*(Y;R)$, then d will be not a differential any more. (The only reason to multiply by $p^*(\xi_i')$ from the *right* is to be consistent with other constructions later on.)

Since we ultimately only want (2) to be a differential $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module, the key idea is to perturb the differential by "higher order terms":

$$d(\gamma \otimes \alpha) = d\gamma \otimes \alpha - \sum_{\substack{\pi \subset \{1,\dots,r\} \\ \pi \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|\gamma|+|\pi|}\,\gamma \cup p^*(\xi_\pi') \otimes x_\pi \cdot \alpha. \tag{4}$$

Here $\xi_\pi' \in C^{|\pi|+1}(BT;R)$, and (x_π) denotes the canonical R-basis of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$ generated by the x_i's. This formula already appears in the work of Gugenheim and May [18], and they show how to construct some (ξ_π') such that the resulting map d is a differential (which then is necessarily $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-equivariant). They also prove that the complex $C^*(Y;R) \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}^*$ with this new differential indeed computes the cohomology of the pull-back $\boldsymbol{h}Y$, but their method only gives an isomorphism of R-modules, i.e., without the additional $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-action.

Choosing a collection (ξ_π') as above actually means defining a so-called twisting cochain $t \in \operatorname{Hom}_{-1}(C_*(BT;R), \mathbf{\Lambda}_*)$, which allows one to define twisted tensor products like (1), (2) or (4) in a conceptual manner. It is also tantamount to a morphism of differential coalgebras from $C_*(BT;R)$ to $B\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$, the reduced bar construction of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$. Comodules over $B\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$ are also called $\mathbf{S}^*$-modules 'up to homotopy', and this hints to the fact (well-known to experts) that one can extend Koszul duality to these objects.

The results of this paper are most naturally formulated in the homological setting; this also facilitates the passage to intersection homology later on. So we look at $C_*(Y;R)$ as an $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodule up to homotopy, which we call a 'weak $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodule'. Here $\mathbf{S}_* = H_*(BT;R)$ is the homology coalgebra of BT. This implies that the Koszul functors $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ of this paper are *not* the ones from [15], which we have used above, nor those from [3]. The functor $\mathbf{h}$ carries weak $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodules to $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-modules, and in order to be symmetric, we extend $\mathbf{t}$ to analogously defined weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-modules, which are transformed into $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodules. Any (strict) $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module or $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodule has a canonical weak (co)module structure, and we consider the Koszul functors as mapping to the categories of weak (co)modules.

The main novelty of the present paper is the construction of natural transformations

$$\Psi\colon \mathbf{t}\circ C_* \to C_* \circ \boldsymbol{t} \tag{5a}$$

$$\Phi\colon C_* \circ \boldsymbol{h} \to \mathbf{h}\circ C_*. \tag{5b}$$

Here we have used the same symbol C_* to denote both the functor assigning the $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module $C_*(X;R)$ to a T-space X and the functor assigning to a space Y over BT the weak $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodule $C_*(Y;R)$.

Once these natural transformations are established, an easy application of the Leray–Serre theorem will prove our main result, which generalises (3) to arbitrary topological spaces and arbitrary coefficient ring:

Theorem 3.7′. *The natural transformations Ψ and Φ are quasi-equivalences, i. e., they induce isomorphisms in homology for all objects.*

It turns out that in the case of the Borel construction one can do better. The cohomological formulation is a complete generalisation of the Cartan model to singular cochains:

Theorem 4.1′. *Let $\mathbf{S}^*$ act on $\mathbf{S}^*\otimes C^*(X;R)$ in the canonical way and give the tensor product the following differential and $\mathbf{S}^*$-bilinear product:*

$$d(\sigma\otimes\gamma) = \sigma\otimes d\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_i\sigma\otimes x_i\cdot\gamma,$$

$$(\sigma'\otimes\gamma')(\sigma\otimes\gamma) = \sigma'\sigma\otimes\gamma'\cup\gamma.$$

Then $\Psi^*_X\colon C^*(ET\times_T X)\to \mathbf{S}^*\otimes C^*(X)$ is a morphism of algebras and induces an $\mathbf{S}^*$-equivariant isomorphism in homology.

Suppose that X is a stratified pseudomanifold and $\mathfrak{p}$ a perversity. In this case we define a certain $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-submodule N_* of the singular chain complex $C_*(X;R)$ and show in a further step:

Theorem 5.4′. *The morphism Ψ_X induces an isomorphism $H(\mathbf{t}N_*)\cong I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^T_*(X;R)$. A similar statement holds for spaces over BT.*

(See the main text for a precise statement of each theorem.)

Equivariant cohomology has attracted much interest from other parts of mathematics in recent years, for example from symplectic and algebraic geometry or combinatorics. I have therefore striven to keep this paper reasonably self-contained and accessible to non-experts. It is organised as follows:

In Chapter 1 we introduce weak $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodules and weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-modules and sketch the proof of Koszul duality between these categories. This actually holds in much greater generality and is usually formulated in the framework of operads, see for example [13, Sec. 4.2], [26, § 5.1], or [24]. We nevertheless present an elementary version in order to save the reader from digesting a sophisticated formalism before arriving at the relatively easy result needed here.

Instead of using topological constructions of ET and BT, we will work in the simplicial category [28], [25]. In the last section of the second chapter we define the topological counterparts of the Koszul functors in the simplicial setting and show that they are quasi-inverse to each other – not only for tori, but for arbitrary topological or even simplicial groups. I propose to call these functors "simplicial Koszul functors" in order to stress the similarity between them and the usual "algebraic" Koszul functors. The preceding Sections 2.1 to 2.7 are essentially a review of well-known results about simplicial sets, and except for Propositions and , I make no claim of originality. One reason for including the material here is again to make the whole paper readable for the non-specialist. More importantly, we shall make essential use of the exact form of each definition given in this chapter. In most cases one can find several slightly different versions in the literature, and an underlying "theorem" of this article is that they can all be chosen consistently.

Chapter 3 is the heart of the paper. After explaining how to view the chain complex of a T-space as a $\mathbf{\Lambda}_*$-module (which is easy) and the chain complex of a space Y over BT as a weak $\mathbf{S}_*$-comodule (Gugenheim–May), we define the natural transformations (5) and prove the main theorem.

The singular Cartan model is presented in Chapter 4. For $X = \mathrm{pt}$ a point our theory gives a quasi-isomorphism of algebras $C^*(BT;R) \to \mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT;R)$. We prove that this map has the important property of annihilating all cup_1 products. Our construction of a such map is much shorter than the original one given in [18].

In Chapter 5 we generalise to intersection homology. Starting with the geometric definition of intersection homology given in [16], we use results due to Goresky–MacPherson [17] and King [23] to arrive at the notion of an "allowable subset" of a simplicial set, which is defined as a graded subset closed under all degeneracy maps and under the last face map. Inspection of the proof of the main theorem then shows that it holds true for allowable subsets, hence for intersection homology.

The core of the paper is elementary. Rudimentary knowledge of homological algebra and simplicial sets is amply sufficient, granted the occasional use of spectral sequences, in particular of the Leray–Serre spectral sequence of a fibre bundle. Most proofs are straightforward verifications that some claimed identities do hold and are often only sketched or entirely left to the reader.

Apart from reading this paper from beginning to end, there are at least two more meaningful ways: Readers only interested in the singular Cartan model should check Sections 1.1 and 2.1 for notation and should then, after a quick look at Section 2.2, turn directly to Section 4.1. Those who would like to learn more about the relationship between algebraic and simplicial Koszul duality, but without entering into the details of how to construct the natural transformations (5) may skip Sections 2.3, 2.6, 2.7 and 3.2, 3.3.

Acknowledgements. This paper grew out of my thesis [12], and I heartily thank my advisor Volker Puppe for suggesting this topic to me and also for many inspiring discussions. Substantial additions were made during a visit at MIT in spring 2002, and I thank the MIT maths department and in particular Victor Guillemin for their hospitality. I am also indebted to Mark Goresky for a discussion of the sweep action, to Robert MacPherson for pointing out King's definition of intersection homology to me, to the referee for many valuable comments, and to Ezra Miller, Tara Holm and Ludger Kaup for stylistic improvements.

1. ALGEBRAIC KOSZUL DUALITY

1.1. **Notation and terminology.** Throughout this article the letter R denotes a commutative ring with unit element.

The set $\{1,\ldots,r\}$ is denoted by $[r]$. The degree of a finite set is the number of its elements. Generally, whenever an object x has a degree $|x|\in\mathbf{Z}$, we call $\{x\}=(-1)^{|x|}$ its **sign**; moreover, we write $\{x,y\}=(-1)^{|x|\cdot|y|}$. A summation over $(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi$ extends over all partitions $\mu\mathbin{\dot\cup}\nu=\pi$, and one over $(\mu,\nu)\vdash(m,n)$ over all (m,n)-shuffles, i. e., over all partitions $\mu\mathbin{\dot\cup}\nu=\{0,\ldots,m+n-1\}$ with $|\mu|=m$ and $|\nu|=n$. We write $\{(\mu,\nu)\}$ for the sign of the permutation determined by such a partition.

The following definitions and sign conventions for complexes seem to be consistent with [7] and the nice summary in the first sections of [31, Ch. 3]:

If not otherwise specified, a **complex** is one of R-modules, and all tensor products and homomorphism complexes are taken over R. The n-th degree of a complex N is denoted by N_n. (Note that from now on we suppress meaningless dots as in "N_*".) Let M, N be complexes. The complex $M\otimes N$ has differential $d(m\otimes n)=dm\otimes n+\{m\}\,m\otimes dn$, and that on $\operatorname{Hom}(M,N)$ is $d(f)(m)=df(m)-\{f\}\,f(dm)$. (The former differential illustrates the general sign rule to insert, whenever two objects are transposed, the factor -1 to the product of their degrees. The latter is the *only* exception.) The canonical chain map

$$N\otimes M^*\to\operatorname{Hom}(M,N),\qquad a\otimes\gamma\mapsto\Bigl(c\mapsto\langle\gamma,c\rangle\,a\Bigr),\tag{1.1.1}$$

is an isomorphism if M or N is a finitely generated free R-module. We denote by T_{MN} the canonical transposition $M\otimes N\to N\otimes M$, $m\otimes n\mapsto\{m,n\}\,n\otimes m$. Moreover, if $f\colon M\to M'$ and $g\colon N\to N'$ are maps of complexes, then $f\otimes g\colon M\otimes N\to M'\otimes N'$ is defined by $(f\otimes g)(m\otimes n)=\{g,m\}\,f(m)\otimes g(n)$.

By an **algebra**, we mean an associative differential graded R-algebra A with multiplication $\mu_A\colon A\otimes A\to A$ and unit $\iota_A\colon R\to A$, and by a **coalgebra**, a coassociative differential graded R-coalgebra C with comultiplication $\Delta_C\colon C\to C\otimes C$ and augmentation $\varepsilon_A\colon C\to R$. We analogously write μ_N and Δ_M for the structure maps of a (left or right) A-module N and a (left or right) C-comodule M, respectively. We call A commutative if $\mu_AT_{AA}=\mu_A$, and C cocommutative if $T_{CC}\Delta_C=\Delta_C$. (This is often called "graded (co)commutative".) The category of left A-modules is denoted by A-***Mod***, and that of right C-comodules by ***Comod***-C.

Let C be a coalgebra and A an algebra. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(C,A)$ is an algebra with **cup product**

$$u\cup v=\mu_A(u\otimes v)\Delta_C\tag{1.1.2}$$

and unit $\iota_A\varepsilon_C$. This applies in particular to $C^*=\operatorname{Hom}(C,R)$. Note that a map $\gamma\colon C_n\to R$ has degree $-n$, and that the differential on C^*, like all differentials, *lowers* degree, cf. [7, Ex. 1.9]. In order not to confuse the reader too much, we introduce the notation $C^n=(C^*)_{-n}$, so that $\gamma\in C^n$.

A right C-comodule M is canonically a left C^*-module by the **cap product**

$$\gamma \cap m = (1 \otimes \gamma)\Delta_M(m) \in M \otimes R = M \tag{1.1.3}$$

for $\gamma \in C^*$ and $m \in M$.

We shall occasionally deal with coalgebras whose structure maps are equivariant with respect to some Hopf algebra A. (Recall that the tensor product $N \otimes N'$ of two A-modules is again an A-module.) We call such a coalgebra an ***A*-coalgebra**.

1.2. **Twisting cochains.** Let A be an algebra and C a coalgebra. A **twisting cochain** [5] is an element $u \in \operatorname{Hom}_{-1}(C, A)$ such that $d(u) + u \cup u = 0$. (See for example also [31, Sec. 3.3] for the following.)

If M is a right C-comodule and N a left A-module, then the **twisted tensor product** $M \otimes_u N$ has the same underlying graded R-module as the usual tensor product $M \otimes N$, but with differential

$$d_u = d_M \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_N + (1 \otimes \mu_N)(1 \otimes u \otimes 1)(\Delta_M \otimes 1). \tag{1.2.1}$$

Note that $C \otimes_u N$ is canonically a left C-comodule and $M \otimes_u A$ a right A-module.

Similarly, for a left C-comodule M and a left A-module N we define the **twisted complex of homomorphisms** $\operatorname{Hom}^u(M, N)$ with differential

$$d^u(s) = d(s) + \mu_N(u \otimes s)\Delta_M. \tag{1.2.2}$$

1.3. **The Koszul complex.** Let P be a free graded R-module of finite rank r which is concentrated in positive odd degrees. (If the characteristic of R is 2, then signs do not matter, and P may also have elements in even positive degrees.) Denote by $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \bigwedge P$ the exterior algebra over P and by $\mathbf{S}$ the symmetric coalgebra over $P[-1]$. ($P[-1]$ is obtained from P by raising all degrees by 1.) We shall use that $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is a Hopf algebra with augmentation $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = 0$ and comultiplication $\Delta_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ for all $x \in P \subset \mathbf{\Lambda}$. For $\mathbf{S}$ we need the canonical unit $\iota_{\mathbf{S}} \colon R \to \mathbf{S}$. The coefficient ring R is a left $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module via $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \colon \mathbf{\Lambda} \otimes R \to R$ and a right $\mathbf{S}$-comodule via $\iota_{\mathbf{S}} \colon R \to R \otimes \mathbf{S}$.

Choose a basis (x_i) of P with dual basis (ξ_i) of P^*. This gives canonical bases (x_π) of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and (ξ^α) of the algebra $\mathbf{S}^*$ dual to $\mathbf{S}$ with

$$x_\pi = x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_q} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, \qquad \xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_r^{\alpha_r} \in \mathbf{S}^*,$$

where $\pi = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_q\} \subset [r]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^r$. (Take this as the definition of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ if the characteristic of R is 2.) In terms of the R-basis $x^\alpha \in \mathbf{S}$ dual to the ξ^α's, the comultiplication of $\mathbf{S}$ takes the form

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(x^\alpha) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} x^\beta \otimes x^\gamma. \tag{1.3.1}$$

There is a canonical twisting cochain

$$u_P = \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i \otimes \xi_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_{-1}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{\Lambda}), \tag{1.3.2}$$

which does not depend on the chosen bases. (Here we have used the isomorphism (1.1.1).) We write $M \otimes_P N$ for the tensor product with twisting cochain u_P.

The **Koszul complex**

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{S} \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda}, \quad d(s \otimes a) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_i \cap s \otimes x_i \wedge a \tag{1.3.3}$$

is a left $\mathbf{S}$-comodule and a right $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module. It is actually a $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-coalgebra with componentwise comultiplication

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{K}} = (1 \otimes T_{\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Lambda}} \otimes 1)(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{\Lambda}})\colon \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{S} \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda} \to (\mathbf{S} \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda}) \otimes (\mathbf{S} \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{K}$$

and augmentation $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{K}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\colon \mathbf{K} \to R$.

The latter map as well as the morphism of $\mathbf{S}$-modules $\iota_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{S}}\colon R \to \mathbf{K}$ are quasi-isomorphisms, i. e., they induce isomorphisms in homology.

1.4. **Weak S-comodules.** We define a **weak** (right) **S-comodule** to be a triple (C, M, u), where C is a coalgebra, M a right C-comodule, and $u \in \operatorname{Hom}_{-1}(C, \mathbf{\Lambda})$ a twisting cochain with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} u = 0$.

Using again the isomorphism (1.1.1), we may express u in the form

$$u = \sum_{\emptyset \ne \pi \subset [r]} x_\pi \otimes \gamma_\pi \tag{1.4.1}$$

for some $\gamma_\pi \in C^{|\pi|+1} = (C^*)_{-|\pi|-1}$. The condition of u being a twisting cochain translates into the equations

$$\forall\, \emptyset \ne \pi \subset [r] \qquad d\gamma_\pi = -\sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu) \vdash \pi \\ \mu \ne \emptyset \ne \nu}} \{\mu\}\{(\nu,\mu)\}\, \gamma_\mu \cup \gamma_\nu, \tag{1.4.2}$$

and the explicit form of the differential on $M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda}$ is

$$d(m \otimes a) = dm \otimes a + \sum_{\pi \ne \emptyset} \{\pi, m\}\, \gamma_\pi \cap m \otimes x_\pi \wedge a. \tag{1.4.3}$$

For small π, condition (1.4.2) says $d\gamma_i = 0$, and $d\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_j \cup \gamma_i - \gamma_i \cup \gamma_j$ for $i < j$. This allows us to define a right $\mathbf{S}$-comodule structure on $H(M)$, or equivalently, a left $\mathbf{S}^*$-module structure, by setting

$$\xi_i \cdot [m] = [\gamma_i \cap m]. \tag{1.4.4}$$

(This is equivalent because $\mathbf{S}^{**} = \mathbf{S}$.) Hence while M is only an $\mathbf{S}$-comodule 'up to homotopy', $H(M)$ is a strict one. This explains the term "weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodule" for (C, M, u).

Any right $\mathbf{S}$-comodule M canonically gives the weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodule $(\mathbf{S}, M, u_P)$ where u_P is the canonical twisting cochain (1.3.2).

A **morphism of weak S-comodules** is a morphism of right $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules $f\colon M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda} \to M' \otimes_{u'} \mathbf{\Lambda}$, which we may write in the form

$$f(m \otimes a) = \sum_{\pi \subset [r]} \{\pi, m\}\, f_\pi(m) \otimes x_\pi \wedge a \tag{1.4.5}$$

for some $f_\pi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{-|\pi|}(M, M')$. If $f_\pi = 0$ for $\pi \ne \emptyset$, then f (and, by abuse of language, also $f_\emptyset$) is called **strict**. Homotopies between weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules are defined analogously. This gives us the category ***Comod***-$B\mathbf{\Lambda}$ of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules and their morphisms. (This notation indicates that weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules are in fact right comodules over the reduced bar construction $B\mathbf{\Lambda}$ of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ because a twisting cochain $u\colon C \to \mathbf{\Lambda}$ with $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} u = 0$ is tantamount to a morphism of coalgebras $C \to B\mathbf{\Lambda}$, cf. [31, p. 77].)

If $f\colon M \to M'$ is a morphism of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules, then this imposes certain conditions on its components f_π, namely

$$\forall\,\pi \subset [r] \quad d(f_\pi)(m) = \sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi\\ \mu\neq\emptyset}} \{(\mu,\nu)\}\Bigl(\{\nu\}\, f_\nu(\gamma_\mu \cap m) - \{\mu,\nu\}\,\gamma'_\mu \cap f_\nu(m)\Bigr). \tag{1.4.6}$$

In particular, $d(f_\emptyset) = 0$ and $d(f_i)(m) = f_\emptyset(\gamma_i \cap m) - \gamma'_i \cap f_\emptyset(m)$ for $i \in [r]$. Therefore, $f_\emptyset\colon M \to M'$ is a chain map of complexes and induces an $\mathbf{S}$-equivariant map in homology. We define $H(f)\colon H(M) \to H(M')$ to be this map $H(f_\emptyset)$. If $h\colon M \to M'$ is a homotopy between two morphisms f and f', then one verifies similarly that $h_\emptyset$ is a homotopy between $f_\emptyset$ and $f'_\emptyset$. Hence $H(f) = H(f')$ in this case.

1.5. **Weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules.** Though we will not need it in our topological applications, we also introduce the category $B\mathbf{S}$-***Mod*** of weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules for the sake of completeness. A **weak** (left) **$\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module** N is a triple (A, N, v) where N is a left module over an algebra A and $v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{-1}(\mathbf{S}, A)$ a twisting cochain satisfying $v\iota_{\mathbf{S}} = 0$. (Hence N it is a left module over the reduced cobar construction of $\mathbf{S}$.) Similar to the previous case, we identify $v = \sum_{0\neq\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^r} c_\alpha \otimes \xi^\alpha \in A \otimes \mathbf{S}^*$. (Note that we always have $\{c_\alpha\} = -1$.) The differential on $\mathbf{S} \otimes_v N$ is of the form

$$d(s \otimes n) = s \otimes dn + \sum_{\alpha\neq 0} \xi^\alpha \cap s \otimes c_\alpha \cdot n. \tag{1.5.1}$$

(This is well-defined because $\xi^\alpha \cap s$ vanishes for almost all ξ^α.) The twisting cochain condition now reads

$$\forall\, 0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^r \qquad dc_\alpha = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} c_\beta \cdot c_\gamma, \tag{1.5.2}$$

in particular $dc_i = 0$, $dc_{ii} = c_i \cdot c_i$, and $dc_{ij} = c_i \cdot c_j + c_j \cdot c_i$ for $i \neq j$. Here we have written c_i and c_{ii} for c_α with a single non-vanishing component $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\alpha_i = 2$, respectively, and similarly for c_{ij}. Hence the homology $H(N)$ of (A, N, u) carries a well-defined $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module structure defined by $x_i \cdot [n] = [c_i \cdot n]$.

A **morphism of weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules** $(A, N, v) \to (A', N', v')$ is a morphism of $\mathbf{S}$-comodules $g\colon \mathbf{S} \otimes_v N \to \mathbf{S} \otimes_{v'} N'$, which we can write as

$$g(s \otimes n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^r} \xi^\alpha \cap s \otimes g_\alpha(n). \tag{1.5.3}$$

Then the lowest component g_0 is a chain map, and from $d(g_i)(n) = g_0(c_i \cdot n) - c'_i \cdot g_0(n)$ we see that g_0 induces a $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariant map in homology. As before, the latter depends only on the (suitably defined) homotopy class of g.

1.6. **Koszul functors.** The definition of the Koszul functors

$$\mathbf{t}\colon B\mathbf{S}\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod} \to \boldsymbol{Comod}\text{-}B\mathbf{\Lambda} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{h}\colon \boldsymbol{Comod}\text{-}B\mathbf{\Lambda} \to B\mathbf{S}\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod}$$

is now almost obvious; we only have to switch between left and right structures: For any weak $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module (A, N, v) we set $\mathbf{t}N = \mathbf{S} \otimes_v N$ with the right $\mathbf{S}$-comodule structure

$$T_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{S}\otimes_v N}(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1)\colon \mathbf{S} \otimes_v N \to \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes_v N \to (\mathbf{S} \otimes_v N) \otimes \mathbf{S}, \tag{1.6.1}$$

which is well-defined because $\mathbf{S}$ is cocommutative. Since this map is equal to $(1 \otimes T_{\mathbf{S}N})(\Delta_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1)$, the corresponding left $\mathbf{S}^*$-module structure is given by

$$\sigma \cdot (s \otimes n) = \sigma \cap s \otimes n = (1 \otimes \sigma)\Delta_{\mathbf{S}}(s) \otimes n. \tag{1.6.2}$$

The left $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module structure on $\mathbf{h}M = M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda}$, (A, M, u) a weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodule, is analogously defined by

$$a \cdot (m \otimes b) = \{a\}\{a, m \otimes b\}\, m \otimes b \wedge a = \{a\}\{a, m\}\, m \otimes a \wedge b. \tag{1.6.3}$$

Note that $\mathbf{t}N$ and $\mathbf{h}M$ always possess strict structures. In other words, the Koszul functors actually map to ***Comod*-S** and **Λ-*Mod***, respectively. Moreover, both $\mathbf{ht}R$ and $\mathbf{th}R$ essentially give the Koszul complex $\mathbf{K}$.

Theorem 1.1. *The Koszul functors form an adjoint pair* $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t})$*. Moreover, they induce quasi-inverse equivalences of categories in homology if restricted to the full subcategories of (co)modules bounded from below.*

Proof. We define natural transformations $\mathrm{id} \to \mathbf{ht}$ and $\mathbf{th} \to \mathrm{id}$ by the morphisms

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1 \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \colon \mathbf{S} \otimes_v N \to \mathbf{S} \otimes_P \mathbf{ht}N = \mathbf{S} \otimes_P \big((\mathbf{S} \otimes_v N) \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda}\big), \tag{1.6.4a}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1 \otimes \mu_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \colon \big(\mathbf{S} \otimes_P (M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda})\big) \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{th}M \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda} \to M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda}. \tag{1.6.4b}$$

It is readily verified that the compositions

$$\mathbf{t}N \to \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{ht}N) = \mathbf{th}(\mathbf{t}N) \to \mathbf{t}N,$$
$$\mathbf{h}M \to \mathbf{ht}(\mathbf{h}M) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{th}M) \to \mathbf{h}M$$

are the respective identity morphisms. This proves the first claim.

To see that they induce quasi-inverse equivalences in homology for (co)modules bounded from below, we first note that their lowest order components are of the form

$$\iota_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1 \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \colon N \to \mathbf{ht}N = (\mathbf{S} \otimes_v N) \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda},$$
$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{S}} \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \colon \mathbf{S} \otimes_P (M \otimes_u \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{th}M \to M.$$

Now filter the complexes

$$(\mathbf{ht}N)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \bigoplus_{p'+p''=p} \mathbf{S}_{p'} \oplus N_q \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}_{p''},$$
$$(\mathbf{th}M)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \bigoplus_{q'+q''=q} \mathbf{S}_{q'} \oplus M_p \oplus \mathbf{\Lambda}_{q''}$$

by p-degree, and consider N and M as concentrated in p-degree 0 and q-degree 0, respectively. Then the above maps are filtration-preserving. The E^1-term for $\mathbf{ht}N$ is essentially the tensor product of the Koszul complex $\mathbf{K}$ and $H(N)$, so that we have an isomorphism between the E^2-terms, which are both equal to $H(N)$. In the second case the tensor product $\mathbf{K} \otimes M$ appears already on the E^0-level and therefore the isomorphism on E^1. (Here we have used the assumptions $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} u = 0$ and $v \iota_{\mathbf{S}} = 0$.) □

By replacing $\mathbf{t}N$ and $\mathbf{h}M$ by arbitrary strict (co)modules in formulas (1.6.4), one sees that the Koszul functors are quasi-inverses between the subcategories of strict (co)modules which are bounded from below. One can show that the connecting morphisms (which now go the other way round) are actually homotopy equivalences in the category of complexes, i.e., after forgetting the (co)module structures [12, Thm 1.6.3]. (See [21, §II.4] for a related result.) Since this does not require the complexes to be bounded, it implies in particular that the Koszul functors induce equivalences in homology between the categories of all $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules and all $\mathbf{S}$-comodules. I conjecture that an analogous statement holds in the context of weak (co)modules. A careful discussion of Koszul duality between categories of unbounded (strict) modules can be found in [11].

Proposition 1.2. *The Koszul functors preserve quasi-isomorphisms between weak (co)modules bounded from below.*

Proof. This is again a spectral sequence argument, analogous to [15, Sec. 9] $\square$

This implies that the Koszul functors $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ descend to the derived categories of (co)modules bounded from below, which are obtained by localising at all quasi-isomorphisms. Note, however, that the categories $B\mathbf{S}$-***Mod*** and ***Comod***-$B\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ are not abelian, in contrast to those considered in [15] or [3]: Any morphism of free $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$-modules (with trivial differentials) whose kernel is not free gives an example of a morphism of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules without kernel. Similarly, any morphism of finitely generated free $\mathbf{S}^*$-modules (again $d = 0$) with non-free kernel gives after dualising a morphism of weak $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$-modules without cokernel.

2. Simplicial Koszul duality

2.1. **Notation.** We will work in the category of simplicial sets, see [28] or [25] for expositions. Recall that a simplicial set is an $\mathbf{N}$-graded set $X = (X_n)$ together with face maps $\partial_i\colon X_n \to X_{n-1}$, $0 \le i \le n$ (for positive n) and degeneracy maps $s_i\colon X_n \to X_{n+1}$, $0 \le i \le n$ satisfying certain commutation relations. The basic example to keep in mind is of course the simplicial set of singular simplices in a topological space. Here $\partial_i\sigma$ is the composition of the singular n-simplex σ with the inclusion $\Delta_{n-1} \to \Delta_n$ of the i-th facet, and $s_i\sigma$ the composition with the projection $\Delta_{n+1} \to \Delta_n$ identifying the i-th vertex with its successor. (From this one can deduce the commutation relations.) Since simplicial sets are purely combinatorial objects, one has a much greater flexibility in constructing them than in the case of topological spaces. This will be crucial when we define the connecting natural transformation Ψ in the next chapter.

We use the term **space** to refer to a simplicial set. We write $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ for the normalised chain resp. cochain complex of the space X with coefficients in R, and f_* and f^* for the (co)chain map induced by a map of spaces $f\colon X \to Y$. (Recall that $C(X)$ is obtained from the non-normalised chain complex by dividing out the subcomplex of all degenerate simplices $s_i\sigma$. The projection is a homotopy equivalence, cf. [27, Sec. VIII.6].) Note that for $X = \mathrm{pt}$ a one-point space the complex $C(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to R. The simplicial interval is denoted by $\Delta^{(1)}$; its n-simplices are the weakly increasing sequence $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ of zeroes and ones.

To simplify notation, we introduce the abbreviations

$$\partial_i^j = \partial_i \circ \partial_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ \partial_j, \quad \partial_i^{i-1} = \mathrm{id}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_\mu = s_{i_q} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_1}, \quad s_\emptyset = \mathrm{id}$$

for $i \le j$ and any set $\mu = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_q\} \subset \mathbf{N}$, and also $\tilde{\partial}$ for the last face map, i. e., $\tilde{\partial} = \partial_n$ in degree n.

2.2. **The Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps.** The Eilenberg–Zilber theorem states that the complexes $C(X \times Y)$ and $C(X) \otimes C(Y)$ are naturally homotopy-equivalent for every pair X, Y of spaces. Particularly nice homotopy equivalences have been given by Eilenberg and Mac Lane [9]. More precisely, they introduced certain maps

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla = \nabla_{XY} \colon C(X) \otimes C(Y) &\to C(X \times Y), \\ AW = AW_{XY} \colon C(X \times Y) &\to C(X) \otimes C(Y), \\ H = H_{XY} \colon C(X \times Y) &\to C(X \times Y) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$AW \nabla = 1, \tag{2.2.1a}$$

$$\nabla AW - 1 = d(H), \tag{2.2.1b}$$

$$AW H = 0, \tag{2.2.1c}$$

$$H \nabla = 0, \tag{2.2.1d}$$

$$HH = 0. \tag{2.2.1e}$$

These maps are defined on the non-normalised complexes, but descend to the normalised complexes, where they enjoy the properties listed above.

The **shuffle map** ∇ carries the chain $x \otimes y$, $x \in X_m$, $y \in Y_n$, to

$$\nabla(x \otimes y) = \sum_{(\mu,\nu) \vdash (m,n)} \{(\mu,\nu)\}(s_\nu x, s_\mu y), \tag{2.2.2a}$$

where the sum extends over all (m,n)-shuffles (see Section 1.1). The **Alexander–Whitney map** AW is defined by

$$AW(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \partial_{i+1}^{n} x \otimes \partial_0^{i-1} y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\tilde{\partial})^{n-i} x \otimes (\partial_0)^i y \tag{2.2.2b}$$

for $(x,y) \in (X \times Y)_n$. The following non-recursive definition of the chain homotopy H is due to Rubio and Morace, cf. [14, Sec. 2]:

$$H(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{0 \le i < j \le n \\ (\mu,\nu) \vdash (j-i,n-j)}} (-1)^i \{(\mu,\nu)\}\bigl(s_{\nu+i+1} s_i \partial_{j+1}^{n} x, s_{\mu+i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{j-1} y\bigr), \tag{2.2.2c}$$

where $s_{\nu+k} = s_{\nu_{n-j}+k} \circ \cdots \circ s_{\nu_1+k}$.

The shuffle map and the Alexander–Whitney map are associative, the former is also commutative in the sense that

$$\begin{tikzcd} C(X) \otimes C(Y) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{XY}"] \arrow[d, "T_{C(X),C(Y)}"'] & C(X \times Y) \arrow[d, "\tau_{XY*}"] \\ C(Y) \otimes C(X) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{YX}"] & C(Y \times X) \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where $\tau_{XY}(x,y) = (y,x)$, and T is the transposition of factors introduced in Section 1.1. (See for example [30, § II.4] and [7, Ex. 12.26].)

The normalised chain complex of a space X is naturally a coalgebra with comultiplication $AW\Delta_* \colon C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$ and augmentation $C(X) \to C(\mathrm{pt}) = R$, where $\Delta = \Delta_X \colon X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal. In particular, we have cup and cap products as given by equations (1.1.2) and (1.1.3). We shall also need the cohomological **cross product** $\alpha \times \beta = (\alpha \otimes \beta) AW \in C^*(X \times Y)$ of $\alpha \in C^*(X)$ and $\beta \in C^*(Y)$.

Proposition 2.1 (Eilenberg–Moore [10, §17.6]). *The shuffle map is a morphism of coalgebras.*

Proposition 2.2 (Shih). *For all spaces X, Y, and Z, the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(X\times Y)\otimes C(Z) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{X\times Y,Z}"] \arrow[d, "AW_{XY}\otimes 1"'] & C(X\times Y\times Z) \arrow[d, "AW_{X,Y\times Z}"] \\
C(X)\otimes C(Y)\otimes C(Z) \arrow[r, "1\otimes\nabla_{YZ}"'] & C(X)\otimes C(Y\times Z),
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(X)\otimes C(Y\times Z) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{X,Y\times Z}"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes AW_{YZ}"'] & C(X\times Y\times Z) \arrow[d, "AW_{X\times Y,Z}"] \\
C(X)\otimes C(Y)\otimes C(Z) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{XY}\otimes 1"'] & C(X\times Y)\otimes C(Z),
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Actually only the second diagram appears in [30, § II.4]. Like the first one it can be deduced from . □

2.3. **The Steenrod map.** The cup product is not commutative, but only homotopy commutative. A particularly nice homotopy is given by the cup_1 product. I call the underlying map the **Steenrod map** $ST = ST_{XY}\colon C(X\times Y)\to C(X)\otimes C(Y)$. It is not as fundamental as the previous maps, but defined as the composition of the "commuted Alexander–Whitney map"

$$\widetilde{AW}_{XY} = T_{C(Y),C(X)}AW_{YX}\tau_{XY*}\colon C(X\times Y)\to C(X)\otimes C(Y)$$

and the Eilenberg–Mac Lane chain homotopy, namely $ST_{XY} = \widetilde{AW}_{XY}H_{XY}$. It carries the non-degenerate simplex $(x,y)\in(X\times Y)_n$ to

$$ST(x,y) = \sum_{0\le i<j\le n}(-1)^{i+j+ij}\,\partial_0^{i-1}\partial_{j+1}^{n}x\otimes\partial_{i+1}^{j-1}y \tag{2.3.1}$$

of degree $n+1$, cf. [14, Thm. 3.1].

The $\mathbf{cross_1}$ **product** $\times_1\colon C^*(X)\otimes C^*(Y)\to C^*(X\times Y)$ and the $\mathbf{cup_1}$ **product** $\cup_1\colon C^*(X)\otimes C^*(X)\to C^*(X)$ are (up to sign) derived from the Steenrod map like cross and cup product from the Alexander–Whitney map, i. e.,

$$\alpha\times_1\beta = \{\alpha\}\{\beta\}(\alpha\otimes\beta)ST\colon C(X\times Y)\to R\otimes R = R,$$
$$\alpha\cup_1\beta = (\alpha\times_1\beta)\Delta_*.$$

Proposition 2.3.

(1) *A cross_1 product or cup_1 product vanishes if one factor is of degree zero.*
(2) *The cross_1 product vanishes on the image of the shuffle map for all pairs of spaces.*
(3) *The cup_1 product is a homotopy between the cup product and the cup product with commuted factors:*

$$d(\alpha\cup_1\beta) = \alpha\cup\beta - \{\alpha,\beta\}\,\beta\cup\alpha - d\alpha\cup_1\beta - \{a\}\,\alpha\cup_1 d\beta.$$

(4) *It is also a* left *derivation of the cup product (Hirsch formula):*

$$\alpha \cup_1 (\beta \cup \gamma) = (\alpha \cup_1 \beta) \cup \gamma + \{\alpha, \beta\}\{\beta\}\, \beta \cup (\alpha \cup_1 \gamma).$$

Here α, β, and γ denote cochains on some space.

One usually defines the cup$_1$ product such that it becomes a right derivation, but this would be less convenient in our applications. We remark in passing that the signs in the above formulas are as predicted by the sign rule if we write the cup$_1$ product as map $\cup_1(\alpha \otimes \beta)$.

Proof. The first assertion follows directly from the explicit formula (2.3.1) and the second and third from equations (2.2.1). The Hirsch formula requires a lengthy calculation. ☐

Proposition 2.4. *Let X, Y, and Z be spaces.*

(1) *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(X) \otimes C(Y \times Z) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{X, Y\times Z}"] \arrow[d, "1 \otimes ST_{YZ}"'] & C(X \times Y \times Z) \arrow[d, "ST_{X\times Y, Z}"] \\
C(X) \otimes C(Y) \otimes C(Z) \arrow[r, "\nabla_{XY} \otimes 1"'] & C(X \times Y) \otimes C(Z).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *For all $w \in C(X \times Y)$ and $z \in C(Z)$ with $|z| \le 1$ one has*

$$ST_{X, Y\times Z} \nabla_{X\times Y, Z}(w \otimes z) = (1 \otimes \nabla_{YZ})(ST_{XY} \otimes 1)(w \otimes z).$$

These are are analogues of for the Steenrod map, but this correspondence is only partial because part (2) above is *false* for general z.

Proof. This is verified by direct calculations, see [12, Appendix 8]. The first part can alternatively be deduced from a large commutative diagram involving [30, § II.4, Lemme 3]. ☐

2.4. **Groups and group actions.** If not specified otherwise, a **group** G will mean a simplicial group i. e., a simplicial object in the category of groups (or, equivalently, a group object in the category of simplicial sets), cf. [28, §17]. When we are careful about notation, we write 1_n for the unit element of the set-theoretic group G_n of n-simplices of G. For any group G, we denote the category of left G-spaces by G-***Space***.

Let $\mu\colon G \times G \to G$ be the multiplication of G, $\lambda\colon G \to G$ the inversion and $\iota\colon 1 \to G$ the unit. The chain complex $C(G)$ is an algebra with Pontryagin product $\mu_* \nabla_{GG}$ and unit ι_*. It follows from that $C(G)$ is actually an (associative and coassociative) Hopf algebra. By commutativity of the shuffle map, $C(G)$ is commutative if G is.

If X is a left (or right) G-space, then $C(X)$ is canonically a left (or right) $C(G)$-module. (Use again the shuffle map.) If the action of G on X is trivial, then so is that of $C(G)$ on $C(X)$ because it factors through the augmentation $C(G) \to C(1) = R$. One can switch between left and right actions by defining $x \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot x$ for $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. The two corresponding $C(G)$-module structures on $C(X)$ are related by

$$c \cdot a = \{c, a\}\, \lambda_*(a) \cdot c \qquad (2.4.1)$$

for $a \in C(G)$ and $c \in C(X)$. This again follows from the shuffle map's commutativity.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a group and X and Y both left or both right G-spaces.*

1. *The shuffle map ∇_{XY} is $C(G)$-equivariant if G operates trivially on either space.*
2. *The Alexander–Whitney map AW_{XY} is $C(G)$-equivariant.*
3. *The Steenrod map ST_{XY} is $C(G)$-equivariant if G operates trivially on Y.*
4. *It is also equivariant with respect to an $a \in C(G)$ if $|a| \leq 1$ and G operates trivially on X.*
5. *The chain complex $C(X)$ is a $C(G)$-coalgebra.*

(See Section 1.1 for the definition of a $C(G)$-coalgebra.)

Proof. Notice first that it is not important whether G acts from the left or from the right because we may always pass from one to the other by redefining the action and then, using equation (2.4.1), go back to the original one on the chain level.

The first assertion is a consequence of the properties of the shuffle map. The non-trivial part of the last claim, the equivariance of the comultiplication, follows from the second assertion. This in turn is once again a consequence of . In the same way proves the third and fourth claim. □

Proposition 2.6. *The chain functor C is a well-defined homotopy-preserving functor G-**Space** $\to C(G)$-**Mod**.*

Proof. This is a routine verification using the properties of the Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps as given in Section 2.2. □

2.5. **Spaces over a base space.** Let B be a space. A **space over *B*** is a map of spaces $p\colon Y \to B$. We will usually refer to p by Y, the map p being understood. A **morphism $f\colon p \to p'$ of spaces over *B*** is a map $f\colon Y \to Y'$ such that $p' \circ f = p$. Similarly, homotopies between spaces over B are homotopies $Y \times \Delta^{(1)} \to Y'$ commuting with the projections to B. (Here $\Delta^{(1)}$ is the simplicial interval, cf. Section 2.1.) We denote the category of spaces over B by ***Space***-B.

If $Y \to B$ is a space over B, then $C(Y)$ is a right $C(B)$-comodule via the map $AW_{YB}\,\tilde{\Delta}_{Y*} : C(Y) \to C(Y) \otimes C(B)$, where $\tilde{\Delta}_Y$ denotes the canonical map of spaces $Y \to Y \times B$.

Proposition 2.7. *The chain functor C is a well-defined homotopy-preserving functor **Space**-$B \to$ **Comod**-$C(B)$.*

Proof. This is again routine. The verification that one gets equivariant chain homotopies uses . □

2.6. **Fibre bundles.** Our definition of a simplicial fibre bundle [2] is not exactly that of [28, §18]: Let B be a space and G a group. A **twisting function** for B with values in G is a map of graded sets $\tau\colon B_{>0} \to G$ of degree -1 such that for $b \in B_n$ and $i < n$

$$\tau(\partial_i b) = \partial_i \tau(b), \qquad \tau(\partial_n b) = \tau(\partial_{n-1} b)\big(\partial_{n-1}\tau(b)\big)^{-1},$$
$$\tau(s_i b) = s_i \tau(b), \qquad \tau(s_n b) = 1_n$$

Given a left G-space F, one defines the twisted Cartesian product $B \times_\tau F$, whose only difference from $B \times F$ lies in the last face map, which is now

$$\tilde{\partial}(b, f) = \bigl(\tilde{\partial} b, \tau(b) \tilde{\partial} f\bigr).$$

The corresponding **fibre bundle** is the obvious projection $B \times_\tau F \to B$.

We shall need the simplicial version of the Leray–Serre spectral sequence of a fibre bundle: Filter $C(B \times_\tau F)$ by the p-skeletons of the base B. More precisely, a non-degenerate simplex $(f, b) \in C_n(B \times_\tau F)$ belongs to $F_p C(B \times_\tau F)$ if b is (at least) $(n-p)$-fold degenerate. This leads to a spectral sequence, natural in B and F, whose first terms are for connected G equal to

$$E^1_{pq}(B, F) = C_p(B; H_q(F)), \tag{2.6.1a}$$

$$E^2_{pq}(B, F) = H_p(B; H_q(F)) \tag{2.6.1b}$$

as comodules over $C(B)$ and $H(B)$, respectively, and also as $H(G)$-modules in the case $F = G$.[1] An analogous spectral sequence exist in cohomology with

$$E_2^{pq}(B, F) = H^p(B; H^q(F)). \tag{2.6.2}$$

2.7. **Classifying spaces and universal bundles.** In order to define Koszul functors in the simplicial setting, we need the simplicial construction of universal bundles and classifying spaces. Our definitions again differ from [28, §21]:

For any group G, the **classifying space** BG is the space with set of n-simplices

$$BG_n = G_0 \times \cdots \times G_{n-1}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We write the simplices of BG in the form

$$[g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}] \in BG_n, \qquad \text{also} \qquad b_0 := [\,] \in BG_0$$

for the unique vertex of BG. The face and degeneracy maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i[g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}] &= [g_0, \dots, g_{i-2}, g_{i-1} \partial_0 g_i, \partial_1 g_{i+1}, \dots, \partial_{n-i-1} g_{n-1}], \\ s_i[g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}] &= [g_0, \dots, g_{i-2}, g_{i-1}, 1_i, s_0 g_i, s_1 g_{i+1}, \dots, s_{n-i-1} g_{n-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

(Undefined components, such as $\partial_{-1} g_{n-1}$, are supposed to be omitted when applying these formulas for given values of i and n.) The map of graded sets

$$\tau_{BG} \colon BG_{>0} \to G, \qquad [g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}] \mapsto g_{n-1}$$

is a twisting function for BG. The principal bundle $EG = BG \times_{\tau_{BG}} G \to BG$ is called the universal G-bundle. G acts freely from the right on its total space. The construction of classifying spaces and universal bundles is functorial and compatible with products, i. e.,

$$B(G \times H) = BG \times BH \qquad \text{and} \qquad E(G \times H) = EG \times EH \tag{2.7.1}$$

for any pair G, H of groups.

---

[1] More precisely, these terms arise from a filtration of a certain twisted tensor product $C(B) \otimes_u C(F)$, which is related to $C(B \times_\tau F)$ by a filtration-preserving homotopy-equivalence. See the proof of for more details and references.

Another way to look at EG is the following: We have $EG_n = BG_{n+1}$ as sets, moreover $\partial_i^{EG} = \partial_i^{BG}$ for $i \le n$ and likewise for degeneracy maps. This implies that EG is homotopy-equivalent to $s_0 BG_0 \subset BG_1 = EG_0$, i. e., that it is (non-equivariantly) contractible to e_0. (This will be proven along the way in .) The last degeneracy map on BG now gives rise to the following map $\tilde{S}$ of degree 1, cf. [28, Def. 21.1]:

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}_G \colon EG \to EG, \qquad ([g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}], g_n) \mapsto ([g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n], 1_{n+1}). \tag{2.7.2}$$

Rewriting the commutation relations in terms of $\tilde{S}$, we see that it satisfies for all $e \in EG_n$ and $0 \le i \le n$ the identities

$$\partial_i \tilde{S} e = \begin{cases} \tilde{S} \partial_i e & \text{if } n > 0, \\ e_0 & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases} \qquad \partial_{n+1} \tilde{S} e = e, \tag{2.7.3a}$$

$$s_i \tilde{S} e = \tilde{S} s_i e, \qquad s_{n+1} \tilde{S} e = \tilde{S} \tilde{S} e. \tag{2.7.3b}$$

In particular, $\tilde{S}$ passes to a map $C(EG) \to C(EG)$ on the normalised complex. It will be convenient to rescale it and to define

$$S \colon C(ET) \to C(ET), \quad e \mapsto -\{e\}\, \tilde{S} e. \tag{2.7.4}$$

The composition $S \circ S$ vanishes, and $S e_0 = -s_0 e_0 = 0$. Moreover, S is a homotopy between the identity and the chain map induced by the retraction $EG \to e_0$:

$$S de + dSe = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } |e| > 0, \\ e - e_0 & \text{if } |e| = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.7.5}$$

for all non-degenerate $e \in EG$. (The map S is actually the chain homotopy induced by the aforementioned strong deformation retraction of EG to e_0, see the proof of).

Note that S is compatible with products, i. e., $S_{G \times H} = S_G \times S_H$. We need to know how S interacts with the Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps.

Proposition 2.8. *The following identities hold for all groups G and H:*

$$\nabla_{EG,EH}(S_G \otimes S_H) = S_{G \times H} \nabla_{EG,EH}(1 \otimes S_H - S_G \otimes 1), \tag{2.7.6a}$$

$$AW_{EG,EH} S_{G \times H}(e, e') = S_G(e) \otimes e'_0 + (1 \otimes S_H) AW_{EG,EH}(e, e') \tag{2.7.6b}$$

for $(e, e') \in EG \times EH$ and e'_0 the canonical basepoint of EH,

$$ST_{EG,EH} S_{G \times H} = (S_G \otimes S_H) AW_{EG,EH} - (1 \otimes S_H) ST_{EG,EH}. \tag{2.7.6c}$$

Proof. These are direct computations, see [12, Appendix 9]. (There BG, EG, and S have slightly different definitions.) For (2.7.6a) one splits up the sum over all $(m+1, n+1)$-shuffles (μ, ν) depending on whether the maximum $m+n+1$ is contained in μ or in ν. □

2.8. **Simplicial Koszul functors.** We are now in the position to introduce, for any group G, the simplicial Koszul functors between the categories of G-spaces and spaces over BG.

Let X be a left G-space. The **Borel construction**

$$EG \underset{G}{\times} X = BG \times_{\tau_{BG}} X \to BG \tag{2.8.1}$$

is a space over BG. The map of spaces

$$q_X\colon EG \times X \to EG \underset{G}{\times} X, \qquad \bigl((b,g),x\bigr) \mapsto (b,gx), \tag{2.8.2}$$

is the quotient of $EG \times X$ by the G-action $g \cdot (e,x) = (eg^{-1}, gx)$. We record the following observation, which will be used in Section 3.4:

Lemma 2.9. *The composition*

$$q_{X*}\nabla_{EG,X}\colon C(EG) \otimes C(X) \to C(EG \times X) \to C(EG \underset{G}{\times} X)$$

is a morphism of right $C(BG)$-comodules.

Here $C(EG \times_G X)$ is a right comodule because $EG \times_G X$ is a space over BG, and $C(EG) \otimes C(X)$ is one because $C(EG)$ is, i.e., the structure map is

$$(1 \otimes T_{C(BG),C(X)})(\Delta_{C(EG)} \otimes 1)\colon C(EG) \otimes C(X) \to \bigl(C(EG) \otimes C(X)\bigr) \otimes C(BG).$$

Proof. The map $q_{X*}\nabla$ can be written as composition

$$C(EG) \otimes C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(EG) \to C(X \times EG) \to C(X \underset{G}{\times} EG) \to C(EG \underset{G}{\times} X)$$

by the shuffle map's commutativity. Hence it suffices to prove the claim for the map $C(X) \otimes C(EG) \to C(X \times EG)$. Here equivariance follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(X) \otimes C(EG) \arrow[r, "1 \otimes \tilde{\Delta}_*"] \arrow[d, "\nabla"] & C(X) \otimes C(EG \times BG) \arrow[r, "1 \otimes AW"] \arrow[d, "\nabla"] & C(X) \otimes C(EG) \otimes C(BG) \arrow[d, "\nabla \otimes 1"] \\
C(X \times EG) \arrow[r, "(\mathrm{id}, \tilde{\Delta})_*"] & C(X \times EG \times BG) \arrow[r, "AW"] & C(X \times EG) \otimes C(BG)
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

The simplicial Koszul functor

$$\boldsymbol{t}\colon G\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space} \to \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BG$$

assigns to each left G-space X the space $EG \times_G X$ (more precisely, the projection $EG \times_G X \to BG$), and to each morphism $X \to X'$ the induced morphism $EG \times_G X \to EG \times_G X'$.

Given a map $p\colon Y \to BG$, we can pull back the universal G-bundle to obtain the right G-space $Y \times_{\tau_{BG}^{\mathrm{op}}} G$, which we also write as $Y \times^{BG} EG$ since it is the fibre product of Y and EG over BG. The Koszul functor

$$\boldsymbol{h}\colon \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BG \to G\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space}$$

assigns to each space Y over BG the space $Y \times^{BG} EG$ with the opposite, i.e., *left* G-action, and to each morphism $Y \to Y'$ the induced morphism $Y \times^{BG} EG \to Y' \times^{BG} EG$.

Both functors preserve homotopies.

Let X be a left T-space and $p\colon Y \to BG$ a space over BG. In order to introduce certain natural transformations

$$\boldsymbol{P}\colon \boldsymbol{ht} \to \mathrm{id} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{I}\colon \ \mathrm{id} \to \boldsymbol{th}, \tag{2.8.3}$$

we use the isomorphisms of graded sets $\boldsymbol{ht}X \cong BG \times X \times G$ and $\boldsymbol{th}Y \cong BG \times Y \times G$ and define the morphisms

$$\boldsymbol{P}_X\colon \boldsymbol{ht}X \to X, \qquad (b, x, g) \mapsto g^{-1}x, \tag{2.8.4a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{I}_Y\colon Y \mapsto \boldsymbol{th}Y, \qquad y \mapsto (p(y), y, 1) \tag{2.8.4b}$$

for $X \in G$-***Space*** and $Y \in$ ***Space***-BG, respectively. (That the above formulas define morphisms in these categories will be become evident in the proof of .)

Proposition 2.10. *The simplicial Koszul functors form an adjoint pair* $(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{t})$.

Proof. A look at the definitions of $\boldsymbol{I}_Y$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_X$ shows that the compositions

$$\boldsymbol{h}Y \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{th}Y) = \boldsymbol{ht}(\boldsymbol{h}Y) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{h}Y,$$
$$\boldsymbol{t}X \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{th}(\boldsymbol{t}X) = \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{ht}X) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{t}X.$$

are the respective identities. □

Theorem 2.11. *The morphisms* $\boldsymbol{P}_X$ *and* $\boldsymbol{I}_Y$ *are homotopy equivalences of spaces for all G-spaces X and all spaces Y over BG.*

This is a (partial) analogue of [1, Remark 1.7] in the simplicial setting. It parallels the duality between the algebraic Koszul functors, cf. in particular the comments made after . Analogously to that case, it implies that the simplicial Koszul functors become quasi-inverse equivalences of categories after localising the categories G-***Space*** and ***Space***-BG with respect to all morphisms which are homotopy equivalences of spaces. The present analysis will prove useful in Section 5.3.

Proof. We start with $\boldsymbol{I}_Y$ and claim that the map of spaces

$$\boldsymbol{J}_Y\colon \boldsymbol{th}Y \to Y, \qquad (b, y, g) \mapsto y,$$

is a homotopy inverse. We clearly have $\boldsymbol{J}_Y \boldsymbol{I}_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$. In the representation used above, the last face map of $\boldsymbol{th}Y$ is of the form

$$\tilde\partial(b, y, g) = \big(\tilde\partial b, \tilde\partial y, \tau_Y(y)(\tilde\partial g)\tau_{BG}(b)^{-1}\big)$$

with $\tau_Y = \tau_{BG} \circ p$. From this it follows that $\boldsymbol{J}_Y$ is a map of spaces and $\boldsymbol{I}_Y$ a map over BG. (Recall that $\boldsymbol{th}Y$ is a space over BG via projection onto the b-coordinate in our representation.) It is convenient to reorder the factors and to apply the group inversion to G so that

$$\tilde\partial(y, b, g) = \big(\tilde\partial y, \tilde\partial b, \tau_{BG}(b)(\tilde\partial g)\tau_Y(y)^{-1}\big)$$

This shows that $\boldsymbol{J}_Y$ is essentially a bundle with base Y, twisting function τ_Y, and fibre EG (with left G-action $g \cdot e = e \cdot g^{-1}$). We may therefore write the last face map as

$$\tilde\partial(y, e) = \big(\tilde\partial y, \tilde\partial e \cdot \tau_Y(y)^{-1}\big).$$

Note that for $Y = \mathrm{pt}$ the total space is just EG. Hence we will now in particular show that EG is contractible, as announced in the preceding section.

In order to define a homotopy $h\colon \boldsymbol{th}Y\times\Delta^{(1)}\to\boldsymbol{th}Y$ from $\boldsymbol{I}_Y\circ\boldsymbol{J}_Y$ to the identity of Y, we need the map $\tilde S$ introduced in Section 2.7, which was the last degeneracy map of BG carried over to EG. Now h is recursively given by

$$h(y,e,x)=\begin{cases}(y,(s_0)^{|y|}e_0) & \text{if } x=(0),\\ \bigl(y,\tilde S(e'\cdot\tau_Y(y))\bigr) & \text{if } x_0=0\text{, but } x\neq(0),\\ (y,e) & \text{if } x_0=1,\end{cases}$$

where e' is determined by $(\tilde\partial y,e')=h(\tilde\partial(y,e,x))$, and x_0 denotes the leading element of the sequence $x\in\Delta^{(1)}$. (Recall that the simplices in $\Delta^{(1)}$ are the weakly increasing sequences composed of zeroes and ones.) The verification that h is a homotopy as claimed is elementary, but somewhat lengthy. (It can essentially be found in [12, Appendix 10].)

For $Y=\mathrm{pt}$, an $e\in EG_n$ and x consisting of k zeroes followed by $n+1-k$ ones the formula for h simplifies to $h(e,x)=\tilde S^k\tilde\partial^k e$ (which is to be read as e_0 for $k=n+1$). The induced chain homotopy on $C(EG)$ is just S.

We now consider the transformation $\boldsymbol{P}$. Let X be a T-space. That $\boldsymbol{P}_X$ and

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_X\colon X\to\boldsymbol{ht}X,\qquad x\mapsto\bigl((s_0)^{|x|}b_0,x,1\bigr)$$

are maps of spaces follows from the formula

$$\tilde\partial(b,x,g)=\bigl(\tilde\partial b,\tau_{BG}(b)\tilde\partial x,\tau_{BG}(b)\tilde\partial g\bigr)$$

for the last face map of $\boldsymbol{ht}X$. The map

$$\boldsymbol{ht}X\to EG\times X,\qquad (b,x,g)\mapsto(b,g,g^{-1}x)$$

is an isomorphism of left G-spaces. Here G acts on $EG\times X$ by $g(e,x)=(eg^{-1},gx)$. Under this isomorphism the map $\boldsymbol{P}_X$ corresponds to the canonical G-equivariant projection onto X and $\boldsymbol{Q}_X$ to the inclusion of X over e_0 in $EG\times X$. Since EG is contractible, as just shown, these maps are homotopy-equivalences. □

3. Comparing the functors

3.1. **Tori.**

From now on we focus on tori, which are denoted by the letter T instead of the G used so far for groups. We start with our definition of circles and tori. Readers who have left out Section 2.7 can think of compact tori $\cong(S^1)^r$ or algebraic tori $\cong(\mathbf{C}^*)^r$ and should skip the next paragraph.

A **simplicial circle** is a group isomorphic to the classifying space $B\mathbf{Z}$, which is a group by componentwise multiplication because $\mathbf{Z}$ is commutative. More prosaically, it is isomorphic to the subgroup of the group of singular simplices in S^1 generated by a loop at 1. We define a **circle** as a group containing a simplicial circle as a subgroup such that the inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism. We let S^1 denote any circle, and a "loop at $1\in S^1$" refers to a generator of the embedded simplicial circle. A **torus** of **rank** r is a group isomorphic to an r-fold product of circles.

Given a torus T, we fix once and for all a decomposition into circles. We write $x_i'\in C(T)$ for a loop at $1\in T$ around the i-th factor S^1 (or $\mathbf{C}^*$), and similarly for its homology class x_i. Moreover, we now take $H_1(T)$ as the free R-module P (concentrated in degree 1) that was the starting point for the algebraic constructions in Section 1.3. Note that we have a canonical isomorphism of Hopf algebras $H(T)=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ as well as one of coalgebras $H(BT)=\mathbf{S}$.

The following lemma is the reason why we concentrate on tori in this paper.[2]

Lemma 3.1. *The assignment* $\mathbf{\Lambda} \to C(T)$, $x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_q} \mapsto x'_{i_1} \cdots x'_{i_q}$ *is a quasi-isomorphism of Hopf algebras.*

Proof. The map is well-defined because $x'_i \cdot x'_j = -x'_j \cdot x'_i$ by the shuffle map's commutativity. Since we have chosen each x'_i to be a loop at 1, its image under $\Delta_{C(T)}$ is $x'_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x'_i$. Together with this shows that the coalgebra structures are compatible. It is clear that the map is a quasi-isomorphism. □

This map gives us a homotopy-preserving functor from the category of modules over $C(T)$ to those over $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. In order to avoid a too clumsy notation, we incorporate it into the chain functor. Hence $C(X) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$-***Mod*** for $X \in T$-***Space***. In [15] the resulting $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module structure on chain and cochain complexes of T-spaces is called the "sweep action".

To analogously view $C(BT)$-comodules as $\mathbf{S}$-comodules, we would need a quasi-isomorphism of coalgebras $C(BT) \to \mathbf{S}$. This does not exist for $r \geq 2$, essentially because $C(BT)$ is not cocommutative. But $C(BT)$-comodules can be turned into weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules:

Proposition 3.2 (Gugenheim–May)**.** *Any choice of representatives* $\xi'_i \in C^*(BT)$ *of a set of generators* $\xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^2 = H^2(BT)$ *canonically defines a twisting cochain*

$$t = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \pi \subset [r]} x_\pi \otimes \xi'_\pi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{-1}(C(BT), \mathbf{\Lambda}) \qquad \textit{with} \qquad \varepsilon_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} t = 0$$

by recursively setting

$$\xi'_\pi = \xi'_{\pi^+} \cup_1 \xi'_{\pi'},$$

where π^+ *is the maximum of* π *and* $\pi' \neq \emptyset$ *the other elements.*

Since this result is purely algebraic, it holds for any group G and any coefficient ring R such that $H(G) = \mathbf{\Lambda}$ and $H(BG) = \mathbf{S}$ are of the form described in Section 1.3.

Proof. This computation can be found in [18, Example 2.2]. (Their recursive formula looks slightly different because their cup$_1$ product is a right derivation.) □

We will choose specific representatives ξ'_i below. The corresponding twisting cochain t then defines a functor ***Comod***-$C(BT) \to$ ***Comod***-$B\mathbf{\Lambda}$ which preserves homotopies: If $f\colon M \to M'$ is a morphism of $C(BT)$-comodules, then $f \otimes 1\colon M \otimes_t \mathbf{\Lambda} \to M' \otimes_t \mathbf{\Lambda}$, $m \otimes a \mapsto f(m) \otimes a$ is a morphism of right $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules, and analogously for homotopies. Moreover, the $\mathbf{S}$-comodule structure on the homology of a $C(BT)$-comodule, considered as weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodule, is the original $H(BT)$-comodule structure because equation (1.4.4) is how the cap product in $H(M)$ by elements of $H^*(BT) = \mathbf{S}^*$ is defined. We again suppress this functor from our notation, so that $C(Y) \in \boldsymbol{Comod}\text{-}B\mathbf{\Lambda}$ for $Y \in \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT$.

---

[2] As mentioned in [15, Sec. 12], one can construct a morphism of algebras $H(G) \to C(G)$ as in the lemma whenever $H(G) = \mathbf{\Lambda}$ is an exterior algebra and the generators $x_i \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ can be represented by conjugation-invariant cycles $x'_i \in C(G)$. But contrary to the claim in [15], this is not possible in general because all singular simplices appearing in a conjugation-invariant chain necessarily map to the centre of G. The example $G = SU(3)$ shows that the use of subanalytic chains is no remedy: Apart from the finite centre, all conjugation classes have dimension 4 or 6. Hence there can be no conjugation-invariant subanalytic set supporting a representative of the degree 3 generator.

We now explain how to choose the representatives ξ_i' we will work with. In the case of a circle S^1, we let $\xi' \in C^2(BS^1)$ correspond under transgression to a cocycle "dual" to x': It follows from the naturality of the cohomological Leray–Serre spectral sequence (2.6.2) that there exist a cochain $\xi' \in C^2(BS^1)$ and a cochain $\chi \in C^1(ES^1)$ such that

$$\langle x', i^*\chi \rangle = 1, \tag{3.1.1a}$$

$$d\chi = p^*\xi', \tag{3.1.1b}$$

where $i\colon S^1 \to ES^1$ denotes the canonical inclusion over e_0 and $p\colon ES^1 \to BS^1$ the projection. Then ξ' is a cocycle, and its homology class ξ generates $H^*(BS^1)$.

For the torus T we define χ_i as the cross product $1 \times \cdots \times \chi \times \cdots \times 1$ corresponding to the i-th factor of ET, and analogously for ξ_i' and ξ_i. Then the ξ_i's generate $\mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT)$ and are represented by the ξ_i''s.

3.2. **The first natural transformation.** We now construct a map $f\colon \mathbf{K} \to C(ET)$ which will help us to compare the algebraic and simplicial Koszul functors. Here $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(P)$ is the Koszul complex as defined in Section 1.3. For $r = 1$ and $l \in \mathbf{N}$ we recursively set

$$f(1 \otimes 1) = e_0, \tag{3.2.1a}$$

$$f(x^l \otimes x) = f(x^l \otimes 1) \cdot x = f(x^l \otimes 1) \cdot x', \tag{3.2.1b}$$

$$f(x^{l+1} \otimes 1) = Sf(x^l \otimes x), \tag{3.2.1c}$$

where $x \in \mathbf{S}$ denotes the canonical "cogenerator" dual to ξ, and $x' \in C(T)$ is the representative simplex of $x \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ chosen in the previous section. For arbitrary r, we compose this construction with the shuffle map,

$$f\colon \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{K}(x_r) \to C(ES^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(ES^1) \to C(ET), \tag{3.2.2}$$

using equation (2.7.1).

Proposition 3.3. *This f is a morphism of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-coalgebras and a strict morphism of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules.*

The coalgebra structure on $\mathbf{K}$ was defined in Section 1.3, and $C(ET)$ is a $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-coalgebra by and . Recall that the second assertion of the proposition means that

$$f \otimes 1\colon \mathbf{K} \otimes_P \mathbf{\Lambda} \to C(ET) \otimes_t \mathbf{\Lambda}, \qquad (s \otimes a) \otimes a' \mapsto f(s \otimes a) \otimes a'$$

is a $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariant chain map, where t denotes the twisting cochain constructed in the preceding section. Here $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ acts of course only on the second factor of both tensor products.

Proof. We may assume $r = 1$ for the first claim because the shuffle map is a map of coalgebras and in addition equivariant if all but one space of a product have trivial group action, see .

We start by verifying that f is a chain map. By induction and equation (2.7.5), we have for all $l \in \mathbf{N}$ (with the convention $x^{-1} \otimes x := 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} df(x^l \otimes 1) &= dSf(x^{l-1} \otimes x) = f(x^{l-1} \otimes x) - Sdf(x^{l-1} \otimes x) \\ &= f(x^{l-1} \otimes x) - Sf(d(x^{l-1} \otimes x)) = f(x^{l-1} \otimes x), \\ df(x^l \otimes x) &= d(f(x^l \otimes 1) \cdot x) = df(x^l \otimes 1) \cdot x = f(d(x^l \otimes 1)) \cdot x \\ &= f(x^{l-1} \otimes x) \cdot x = f(x^{l-1} \otimes 1) \cdot (x \wedge x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim by comparison with the explicit form (1.3.3) of the differential on $\mathbf{K}$. The $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariance of f follows directly from the definition. To show that f commutes with comultiplication, we have to establish the identities

$$AW\Delta_* f(x^l \otimes 1) = \sum_{m+n=l} f(x^m \otimes 1) \otimes f(x^n \otimes 1), \tag{3.2.3a}$$

$$AW\Delta_* f(x^l \otimes x) = \sum_{m+n=l} f(x^m \otimes x) \otimes f(x^n \otimes 1) + \sum_{m+n=l} f(x^m \otimes 1) \otimes f(x^n \otimes x). \tag{3.2.3b}$$

They follow again by induction, and the fact that the Alexander–Whitney map is $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariant. Hence f is a map of left $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-coalgebras.

That f be a strict morphism of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules translates into the conditions

$$\xi'_\mu \cap f(s \otimes a) = p^*\xi'_\mu \cap f(s \otimes a) = \begin{cases} f(\xi_i \cap s \otimes a) & \text{if } \mu = \{i\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.2.4}$$

for all $s \otimes a \in \mathbf{K}$ and $\emptyset \neq \mu \subset [r]$, see equation (1.4.6). Since we already know f to be a map of coalgebras, the first alternative simplifies for $r = 1$ to $\langle p^*\xi', f(x \otimes 1)\rangle = 1$. This holds by (2.7.5) and our choice (3.1.1) of ξ' and χ, because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p^*\xi', f(x \otimes 1)\rangle &= \langle d\chi, S(e_0 \cdot x')\rangle = \langle \chi, dS(e_0 \cdot x')\rangle \\ &= \langle \chi, e_0 \cdot x' - Sd(e_0 \cdot x')\rangle = \langle i^*\chi, x'\rangle = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The case $r > 1$ now follows from the general identity

$$(\alpha \times \beta) \cap \nabla(a \otimes b) = \{\beta, a\} \nabla(\alpha \cap a \otimes \beta \cap b)$$

for chains a, b and cochains α, β on spaces X and Y, respectively, which is a consequence of and equation (2.2.1a), cf. [7, §7.14].

As for the second alternative of (3.2.4), note that the cup_1 products determining in the higher order elements ξ'_μ, $|\mu| > 1$, are here cross_1 products of cochains coming from different factors of the induced decomposition of the classifying space BT. Hence gives the desired result. □

For a left T-space X we define the map Ψ_X as the bottom row of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbf{K} \otimes C(X) \arrow[r, "f \otimes 1"] \arrow[d] & C(ET) \otimes C(X) \arrow[r, "\nabla"] \arrow[d] & C(ET \times X) \arrow[d, "q_{X*}"] \\
\mathbf{t}C(X) \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbf{K} \underset{\mathbf{\Lambda}}{\otimes} C(X) \arrow[r] & C(ET) \underset{C(T)}{\otimes} C(X) \arrow[r] & C(ET \underset{T}{\times} X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Corollary 3.4. *This Ψ_X is a morphism of coalgebras and a strict morphism of weak* $\mathbf{S}$*-comodules.*

Proof. The top row of the above diagram is a morphism of coalgebras by and , as are the vertical projections. This proves the first claim. The second follows from and . ☐

We therefore obtain a natural transformation

$$\Psi\colon C \circ \boldsymbol{t} \to \mathbf{t} \circ C. \tag{3.2.5}$$

Note that Ψ_X may be written in the form

$$\Psi_X = q_{X*}\nabla_{ET,X}(\psi \otimes 1)\colon \mathbf{t}C(X) = \mathbf{S} \otimes_P C(X) \to C(\boldsymbol{t}X) \tag{3.2.6}$$

with $\psi(s) = f(s \otimes 1)$. This shows the symmetry between Ψ and the natural transformation Φ to be defined in the following section.

3.3. **The second natural transformation.** In this section we want to introduce a natural transformation

$$\Phi\colon \mathbf{h} \circ C \to C \circ \boldsymbol{h}. \tag{3.3.1}$$

by defining morphisms of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules, natural in $Y \in \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT$,

$$\Phi_Y\colon C(\boldsymbol{h}Y) \to C(Y) \otimes_t \mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{h}C(Y)$$

of the form

$$\Phi_Y = (1 \otimes \phi) AW_{Y,ET}\, j_{Y*} \tag{3.3.2}$$

where $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_0(C(ET), \mathbf{\Lambda})$ and $j_Y\colon Y \times^{BT} ET \to Y \times ET$ denotes the canonical inclusion. We look at $C(ET)$ as a *left* $C(BT)$-comodule whose structure map is the composition of the canonical map $ET \to BT \times ET$ and the Alexander–Whitney map. This allows to consider the twisted homomorphism complex $\operatorname{Hom}^t(C(ET), \mathbf{\Lambda})$ as defined in Section 1.2.

Lemma 3.5. *Such a Φ_Y is a morphism of right $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules if ϕ is so and if it is a cycle in* $\operatorname{Hom}^t_0(C(ET), \mathbf{\Lambda})$.

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions and the associativity of the Alexander–Whitney map. ☐

Our construction of such a ϕ goes as follows: Recall that in Section 3.2 we have defined cochains $\chi_i \in C^*(ET)$, $i \in [r]$, satisfying equations (3.1.1). For a subset $\pi \subset [r]$ with at least two elements, we now set

$$\chi_\pi = \{\pi\}\, \chi_{\pi^+} \cup_1 p^* \xi'_{\pi'}, \tag{3.3.3}$$

where π^+ again denotes the maximum of π and π' its complement, and

$$\zeta_\emptyset = 1, \qquad \zeta_\pi = \sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi \\ \pi^+\in\mu}} \{(\nu,\mu)\}\, \chi_\mu \cup \zeta_\nu \tag{3.3.4}$$

for non-empty π. Note that we have $\{\chi_\pi\} = \{\zeta_\pi\} = \{\pi\}$. We finally define

$$\phi = \sum_{\pi\subset[r]} x_\pi \otimes \zeta_\pi \in \operatorname{Hom}_0^t(C(ET), \mathbf{\Lambda}), \tag{3.3.5}$$

where we have used the isomorphism of graded R-modules (1.1.1).

Proposition 3.6. *This ϕ is a $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariant cycle.*

Proof. The condition $d^t\phi = 0$ boils down to the relations

$$d\zeta_\pi = -\sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi \\ \mu\neq\emptyset}} \{\mu\}\{(\nu,\mu)\}\, p^*\xi'_\mu \cup \zeta_\nu$$

for all $\pi \subset [r]$, cf. the twisting cochain condition (1.4.2). They can be verified inductively using the formula

$$\{\pi\}\, d\chi_\pi = -p^*\xi'_\pi + \sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi \\ \pi^+\in\mu,\ \nu\neq\emptyset}} \{(\nu,\mu)\}\, \chi_\mu \cup p^*\xi'_\nu - \sum_{\substack{(\mu,\nu)\vdash\pi \\ \pi^+\in\nu,\ \mu\neq\emptyset}} \{\nu\}\{(\nu,\mu)\}\, p^*\xi'_\mu \cup \chi_\nu$$

for non-empty π, which is a consequence of equation (1.4.2) and the definition of χ_π. (Details for this and the following computations can be found in [12, Appendix 11], again modulo somewhat different conventions.)

The $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariance of ϕ is equivalent to the identities

$$x_i \cdot \zeta_\pi = \begin{cases} \{(\pi \setminus i, i)\}\, \zeta_{\pi\setminus i} & \text{if } i \in \pi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in [r]$ and all $\pi \subset [r]$. (The right $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-module structure of $C(ET)$ dualises to a left structure on $C^*(ET)$ by $\langle a\cdot\gamma, c\rangle = \langle \gamma, c\cdot a\rangle$.) These equations follow by induction from

$$x_i \cdot \chi_\pi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi = \{i\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3.6}$$

Let us prove the preceding line: We clearly have $x_i \cdot \chi_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ because in this case the χ_j comes from a factor of ET on which x_i acts trivially. Similarly, $x_i \cdot \chi_\pi$ vanishes for $|\pi| \geq 2$: The cup_1 product in (3.3.3) is in fact a cross_1 product with the second factor coming from a trivial T-space, namely some BS^1. Hence

$$x_i \cdot \chi_\pi = -\{\pi\}\, x_i \cdot \chi_{\pi^+} \cup_1 p^*\xi'_{\pi'} = 0$$

by Propositions and . It remains to show $x_i \cdot \chi_i = x \cdot \chi = 1$. Note that $x\cdot\chi$ is a cocycle because

$$d(x\cdot\chi) = -x\cdot d\chi = -x\cdot p^*\xi' = -p^*(x\cdot\xi') = 0.$$

Since ET is connected, it suffices therefore to evaluate $x\cdot\chi$ on a single vertex. By our choice of χ we obtain

$$\langle x\cdot\chi, e_0\rangle = \langle \chi, e_0\cdot x\rangle = \langle i^*\chi, x'\rangle = 1,$$

which finally proves (3.3.6). □

3.4. **The main theorem.** We can now compare the simplicial Koszul functors (defined in Section 2.8)

$$\boldsymbol{t}\colon T\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space} \to \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT \qquad\text{and}\qquad \boldsymbol{h}\colon \boldsymbol{Space}\text{-}BT \to T\text{-}\boldsymbol{Space}$$

with their algebraic counterparts (Section 1.6)

$$\mathbf{t}\colon B\mathbf{S}\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod} \to \boldsymbol{Comod}\text{-}B\mathbf{\Lambda} \qquad\text{and}\qquad \mathbf{h}\colon \boldsymbol{Comod}\text{-}B\mathbf{\Lambda} \to B\mathbf{S}\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod}$$

by using the natural transformations

$$\Psi\colon \mathbf{t}\circ C \to C\circ \boldsymbol{t} \qquad\text{and}\qquad \Phi\colon C\circ \boldsymbol{h} \to \mathbf{h}\circ C$$

constructed in the two preceding sections. (Recall from Section 3.1 that we consider the chain functor as a functor T-***Space*** $\to$ $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-***Mod*** and as a functor ***Space***-BT $\to$ ***Comod***-$B\mathbf{\Lambda}$.)

Theorem 3.7. *The natural transformations Ψ and Φ are quasi-equivalences.*

Proof. Let X be a left T-space. We want to use the Leray–Serre theorem to show that Ψ_X induces an isomorphism in homology. If we filter $\mathbf{t}C(X) = \mathbf{S}\otimes_{u_P} C(X)$ by $\mathbf{S}$-degree and $C(\boldsymbol{t}X)$ as described in Section 2.6, then Ψ_X is filtration-preserving because for $c\in C_q(X)$ and $l\in\mathbf{N}$ the "base component" of $q_{X*}\nabla\bigl(\psi(x^l)\otimes c\bigr)$ is q-fold degenerate by the definition of the shuffle map. Hence Ψ_X induces a morphism from the spectral sequence associated to $\mathbf{t}C(X)$ to the Leray–Serre spectral sequence for $ET\times_T X$. By considering the inclusion $X\hookrightarrow ET\times_T X$ over b_0, one sees that $E^2(\Psi_X)$ is an isomorphism on the column $p=0$. The map $E^2(\Psi_X)$ being a morphism of $\mathbf{S}^*$-modules, this extends to all p. Hence $H(\Psi_X)$ is an isomorphism, too.

The proof for Φ is similar. Using the projection $Y\times^{BT} ET\to Y$, we conclude that we have an isomorphism on the E^1 level for $q=0$, which by $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-equivariance must hold for all q. □

3.5. **Naturality.** Up to now, we have kept the group G (resp. T) fixed. We now discuss what happens if one allows G to vary.

Denote the category of all left modules by ***Mod***. A morphism in ***Mod*** between an A-module M and an A'-module M' is a pair (φ,f), where $f\colon M\to M'$ is a chain map, equivariant with respect to the morphism of algebras $\varphi\colon A\to A'$. The category ***Comod*** of all right comodules is defined analogously.

On the side of spaces, one has the category ***Action*** of all left group actions and equivariant maps and the category ***Spaceover*** of all spaces over base spaces.

Homotopies in these categories are defined similarly. For instance, a homotopy between two morphisms (φ,f) and (φ,f') in ***Mod*** is a φ-equivariant chain homotopy h between f and f'. (Note that we require f, f' and h to be equivariant with respect to the same morphism of algebras.)

The following observation is a straightforward generalisation of Propositions and :

Proposition 3.8. *The chain functor C can be considered as homotopy-preserving functor* ***Action*** $\to$ ***Mod*** *or* ***Spaceover*** $\to$ ***Comod***.

For the simplicial Koszul functors one easily verifies the following:

Proposition 3.9. *The simplicial Koszul functors are natural with respect to morphisms in* ***Action*** *on the one hand and morphisms in* ***Spaceover*** *over maps $B\varphi\colon BG\to BG'$ induced by morphisms of groups $\varphi\colon G\to G'$ on the other.*

Let P' be another finitely generated free graded R-module, also satisfying the conditions stated at the beginning of Section 1.3. It gives rise to an exterior algebra $\mathbf{\Lambda}'$ and an symmetric coalgebra $\mathbf{S}'$. Any morphism of graded R-modules $P \to P'$ canonically determines a morphism of algebras $\mathbf{\Lambda} \to \mathbf{\Lambda}'$ and a morphism of coalgebras $\mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{S}'$. Call morphisms arising this way adapted, as well as morphisms between (co)modules over exterior algebras (resp. symmetric coalgebras) whose (co)algebra parts are of this type. Finally extend this notion to weak (co)modules, whose morphisms were defined in Sections 1.4 and 1.5 as the morphisms of the Koszul dual strict (co)modules. Then an adapted morphism of modules, considered as a morphism of weak comodules, is still adapted, and similarly for adapted morphisms of comodules.

Proposition 3.10. *The algebraic Koszul functors are natural with respect to adapted morphisms of weak (co)modules.*

This generality breaks down if one tries to compare the simplicial Koszul functors with the algebraic ones. The problem is that the definition of the sweep action as well as that of the twisting cochain t in Section 3.1 involve a decomposition of the torus T into circles and furthermore the choice of representatives of some (co)homology classes. A look at the construction of the connecting natural transformations Ψ and Φ shows that we still have naturality in the following situations:

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\varphi\colon T \cong (S^1)^r \to T' \cong (S^1)^{r'}$ be a map of tori. Assume that it is componentwise with respect to the chosen decompositions in the sense that it just drops some factors and permutes the remaining ones, with the possible insertion of 1's.*

(1) *Let X be a T-space, X' a T'-space and $f\colon X \to X'$ a φ-equivariant map. Then, using the obvious notation, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{t}_P C(X) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{t}f_*"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_X"'] & \mathbf{t}_{P'} C(X') \arrow[d, "\Psi_{X'}"] \\
C(\boldsymbol{t}_T X) \arrow[r, "(\boldsymbol{t}f)_*"] & C(\boldsymbol{t}_{T'} X').
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) *Let Y be a space over BT, Y' a space over BT' and $g\colon Y \to Y'$ a map over $B\varphi\colon BT \to BT'$. If in addition φ preserves the relative order of the surviving elements, then the following diagram commutes, too:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(\boldsymbol{h}_T Y) \arrow[r, "(\boldsymbol{h}g)_*"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_Y"'] & C(\boldsymbol{h}_{T'} Y') \arrow[d, "\Phi_{Y'}"] \\
\mathbf{h}_P C(Y) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{h}g_*"] & \mathbf{h}_{P'} C(Y').
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here all horizontal arrows represent morphisms adapted to the morphism $H_1(\varphi)\colon H_1(T) = P \to H_1(T') = P'$.

To give an example, the map $(S^1)^4 \to (S^1)^3$, $(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) \mapsto (1, g_4, g_1)$ is componentwise, but not monotone. The special cases $1 \to T$ and $T \to 1$ of the proposition were implicitly used in the proof of .

4. COHOMOLOGY

In this chapter we translate the two parts of our main theorem to cohomology.

4.1. The singular Cartan model.

Let T be a torus and X a left T-space. The left $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-action on $C(X)$ introduced in Section 3.1 dualises to a right action on cochains by $\langle \gamma, x_i \cdot c\rangle = \langle \gamma \cdot x_i, c\rangle$. Let us replace this by the left action

$$\langle x_i \cdot \gamma, c\rangle = -\{\gamma\}\langle \gamma \cdot x_i, c\rangle = -\{\gamma\}\langle \gamma, x_i \cdot c\rangle. \tag{4.1.1}$$

(This is equation (2.4.1) after taking homology.)

We write the complex dual to $\mathbf{t}C(X) = \mathbf{S} \otimes_P C(X)$ as $\mathbf{S}^* \otimes C^*(X)$ so that the differential takes the form

$$d(\sigma \otimes \gamma) = \sigma \otimes d\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \xi_i \sigma \otimes x_i \cdot \gamma. \tag{4.1.2}$$

It follows from the properties of $\mathbf{S} \otimes_P C(X)$ that its dual is an $\mathbf{S}^*$-module with $\mathbf{S}^*$-bilinear product

$$(\sigma' \otimes \gamma')(\sigma \otimes \gamma) = \sigma'\sigma \otimes \gamma' \cup \gamma. \tag{4.1.3}$$

and now imply:

Theorem 4.1. *Given the definitions* (4.1.2) *and* (4.1.3)*, the map*

$$\Psi^*_X \colon C^*(ET \underset{T}{\times} X) \to \mathbf{S}^* \otimes C^*(X)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of algebras. Moreover, the induced isomorphism in cohomology is $\mathbf{S}^*$*-equivariant.*

The map Ψ^*_X *is natural with respect to morphisms between* T*-spaces and, more generally, with respect to maps* $X \to X'$ *of spaces with torus actions which are equivariant with respect to componentwise morphisms* $T \to T'$*.*

Componentwise morphisms were explained in . Note that the correctness of the action of $\mathbf{S}^* = H^*_T(\mathrm{pt})$ already follows from the algebra structure and naturality. In order to put the duals of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules into a categorical framework, one could define the category of "weak $\mathbf{S}^*$-modules". The map Ψ^*_X would then be a morphism in this new category.

That the singular Cartan model computes the equivariant cohomology algebra of a T-space X was for $T = S^1$ proven by Jones [22, §3] and Hood–Jones [20, Sec. 4] in the context of cyclic homology. For arbitrary T, subanalytic T-spaces and real coefficients the result (but without the algebra structure) is due to Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson [15, Thm. 12.3].

Let us recall from Sections 2.4 and 3.1 how the "sweep action" of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ on cochains comes about: Let $x_i \in H_1(T)$ be one of basis elements corresponding to the chosen decomposition of T into circles. It represents a loop x'_i at $1 \in T$ that winds once around the i-th factor of $T = (S^1)^r$. Now let c be an n-chain and γ a cochain in X. Move c around in X by applying the loop x'_i and triangulate the result into $(n+1)$-simplices as done by the shuffle map (2.2.2a). Evaluating γ on this $(n+1)$-chain gives, up to the sign $(-1)^n$, the value of $x_i \cdot \gamma$ on c.

For X a T-manifold and real coefficients one can deduce the classical Cartan model from : It is well-known that the restriction of cochains

$$C^*(X) \to C^*_\infty(X) \tag{4.1.4}$$

to smooth singular simplices is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes, as are the maps

$$\Omega(X)^T \hookrightarrow \Omega(X) \to C^*_\infty(X), \tag{4.1.5}$$

where $\Omega(X)$ denotes the de Rham complex of X and $\Omega(X)^T$ its T-invariants. Letting $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ act on $C^*_\infty(X)$ by the sweep makes (4.1.4) a morphism of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules. On T-invariant forms $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ acts by contraction with generating vector fields. It is not difficult to verify that the composition (4.1.5) is equivariant. The point is that integrating a T-invariant differential form γ over $x'_i \cdot c$ is the same as integrating γ, contracted by the fundamental vector field generated by x'_i, over c. The usual spectral sequence argument finally shows that the classical Cartan model $\mathbf{S}^* \otimes \Omega(X)^T$ (with the same differential as above) is quasi-isomorphic as $\mathbf{S}^*$-module to its "singular" counterpart; essentially the inverse argument was used in [15, Sec. 18]. (For this to work one actually has to adjust some sign conventions for differential forms.) The direct comparison of the product structures would of course be more involved.

4.2. **The pull back of universal bundles.** Let Y be a space over BT. We write the complex dual to $\mathbf{h}C(Y) = C(Y) \otimes_t \mathbf{\Lambda}$ as $C^*(Y) \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}^*$. Then the differential is

$$d(\gamma \otimes \alpha) = d\gamma \otimes \alpha - \sum_{\substack{\pi \subset \{1,\dots,r\} \\ \pi \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|\gamma|+|\pi|}\, \gamma \cup p^*(\xi'_\pi) \otimes x_\pi \cdot \alpha \tag{4.2.1}$$

(this is equation (4) from the introduction), and the left $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-action

$$x_\pi \cdot (\gamma \otimes \alpha) = \{\pi, \gamma\}\, \gamma \otimes x_\pi \cdot \alpha. \tag{4.2.2}$$

According to (4.1.1), $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ acts on $\mathbf{\Lambda}^*$ by

$$x_j \cdot (\xi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k}) = \xi_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \qquad \text{if } j = i_1.$$

Proposition 4.2. *With these conventions, the map*

$$\Phi^*_Y \colon C^*(Y) \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}^* \to C^*(Y \overset{BT}{\times} ET)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-modules. It is natural with respect to morphisms between T-spaces and, more generally, with respect to the morphisms described in .

This sharpens the pertinent result of Gugenheim–May [18, Ex. 2.2 & Thm. 3.3] by additionally describing the $\mathbf{\Lambda}$-action (and also by allowing completely arbitrary R).

4.3. **A quasi-isomorphism** $C^*(BT) \to H^*(BT)$**.** Taking $X = \mathrm{pt}$ a point in the singular Cartan model gives together with the dual of the connecting morphism Ψ_X from Section 3.2 a quasi-isomorphism of algebras

$$\Psi^*_{\mathrm{pt}} \colon C^*(BT) \to \mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT).$$

It turns out that Ψ^*_{pt} has another useful property:

Proposition 4.3. *The map Ψ^*_{pt} is a quasi-isomorphism of algebras. Moreover, it annihilates all cup$_1$ products.*

The existence of such a map is also due to Gugenheim and May [18, Thm. 4.1]. Though somewhat technical in nature, this result is of great importance, for instance to the study of the cohomology of homogeneous spaces of Lie groups, cf. [18] or [29, §8.1] for instance. The present construction of such a map is considerably simpler than the original one given in the appendix to [18]. Before trying to prove this result, I have checked some examples with the help of the "Kenzo" program [8].

Proof. We actually need not invoke (as implicitly done above) to see that Ψ^*_{pt} induces an isomorphism in homology: This simply follows from the fact that we have chosen the ξ'_i as representatives of the $\xi_i \in \mathbf{S}^* = H^*(BT)$ in Section 3.1.

That Ψ^*_{pt} annihilates all cup_1 products is equivalent to the vanishing of

$$ST_{BT,BT}\Delta_{BT*}\Psi_{\mathrm{pt}}\colon \mathbf{S} \to C(BT) \otimes C(BT)$$

and to that of

$$(p_* \otimes p_*)ST_{ET,ET}\Delta_{ET*}f\colon \mathbf{K} \to C(BT) \otimes C(BT),$$

where $f\colon \mathbf{K} \to C(ET)$ is the map constructed in Section 3.2. We actually prove the stronger statement that

$$ST_{BT,ET}\hat{\Delta}_* f = (p_* \otimes 1)ST_{ET,ET}\Delta_{ET*}f\colon \mathbf{K} \to C(BT) \otimes C(ET)$$

vanishes, where $\hat{\Delta}$ is the canonical map $ET \to BT \times ET$. We proceed by double induction on the rank r of T and the degree of $c = x^\alpha \otimes x_\pi \in \mathbf{K}$, the case $r = 0$ being trivial. If $r > 0$ and π non-empty, then $x_\pi = a \wedge x_i$ for some $a \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ and some i. By , the Steenrod map $ST_{BT,ET}$ is equivariant with respect to multiplication by x_i because the latter is of degree 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} ST\hat{\Delta}_* f(c) &= ST\hat{\Delta}_*\big(f(x^\alpha \otimes a) \cdot x_i\big) = ST\big(\hat{\Delta}_* f(x^\alpha \otimes a) \cdot x_i\big) \\ &= ST\hat{\Delta}_* f(x^\alpha \otimes a) \cdot x_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by induction.

It remains the case $\pi = \emptyset$, i.e., $c = x^\alpha \otimes 1$. We may assume all $\alpha_i > 0$. (Otherwise use the result for smaller r). Formula (2.7.6a) shows that the cross product of two chains lying in the image of the respective cone operators does so itself. This generalises readily to several factors and applies therefore to

$$f(c) = \nabla_{ES^1,\dots,ES^1}\big(f(x_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes 1) \otimes \dots \otimes f(x_r^{\alpha_r} \otimes 1)\big).$$

Since S is a contracting homotopy by equation (2.7.5) and $SS = 0$, we conclude that

$$f(c) = Sdf(c) + dSf(c) = Sf(dc).$$

Applying equation (2.7.6c) yields

$$ST\Delta_* f(c) = ST\Delta_* Sf(dc) = (S \otimes S)AW\Delta_* f(dc) - (1 \otimes S)ST\Delta_* f(dc).$$

The first summand vanishes because f is morphism of coalgebras and $SS = 0$, cf. the explicit form (3.2.3b) of $AW\Delta_* f$. We project the remaining term to $C(BT) \otimes C(ET)$:

$$\begin{aligned} ST\hat{\Delta}_* f(c) &= -(p_* \otimes 1)ST\Delta_* f(c) = -(1 \otimes S)(p_* \otimes 1)ST\Delta_* f(dc) \\ &= -(1 \otimes S)ST\hat{\Delta}_* f(dc) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

again by induction. This finishes the proof. □

5. Intersection homology

5.1. **Motivation.** This section serves as a motivation of our definition of allowable subsets of a simplicial set in the next section.

Let X be a stratified pseudomanifold and denote by X_k the union of its strata of codimension at least k. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulation of X compatible with the stratification. Assume furthermore that the vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ are partially ordered in such a way that the vertices of each simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ are ordered and the intersection of σ with any X_k is a **back face** of σ, i. e., spanned by the last $\dim(\sigma \cap X_k) + 1$ vertices of σ in the given ordering. Guided by [17], we call such a vertex-ordered triangulation **flaglike**. For example, the barycentric subdivision of any triangulation compatible with the stratification is flaglike if one orders the vertices by decreasing dimension of the faces of which they are the barycentres.

Let $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_2 = 0, \mathfrak{p}_3, \ldots)$ be a perversity. Call a simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ allowable if for all k the intersection $\sigma \cap X_k$ has codimension at least $k - \mathfrak{p}_k$ in σ, and a chain in $C(\mathcal{T})$ allowable if it is a linear combination of allowable simplices. (We just say "allowable" because we will not deal with more than one perversity at a time.) Then define $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \subset C(\mathcal{T})$ as the subcomplex of all allowable chains with allowable boundaries. Goresky and MacPherson [17] have shown that provided $\mathcal{T}$ is flaglike (as we assume here), the homology of $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ is the usual intersection homology $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X)$ (with compact support) as defined in [16].

The complex $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ has the nice property that it can easily be endowed with the structure of a right $C(\mathcal{T})$-comodule: Since any intersection $\sigma \cap X_k$ is a back face of $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, all **front faces** $\tilde{\partial}^i\sigma$ of an allowable σ are again allowable. In other words, the set of allowable simplices is stable under the last face map of the corresponding simplicial set. Consequently, the image of a chain $c \in I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ under the Alexander–Whitney map (2.2.2b) is of the form

$$\sum_i c_i' \otimes \sigma_i'' \tag{5.1.1}$$

for some non-degenerate simplices σ_i'' and some allowable chains c_i'. The Alexander–Whitney being a chain map, this already implies that it maps $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ to $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \otimes C(\mathcal{T})$: Since $C(\mathcal{T})$ is free over R, the chain $AW(c)$ lies in $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \otimes C(\mathcal{T})$ if and only if $(d \otimes 1)AW(c)$ is also of the form (5.1.1). This is true because

$$(d \otimes 1)AW(c) = dAW(c) - (1 \otimes d)AW(c) = AW(dc) - (1 \otimes d)AW(c).$$

Hence $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ is a right $C(\mathcal{T})$-comodule, and $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X)$ a right $H(X)$-comodule.

For compact X, the induced dual $H^*(X)$-module structure on $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X)$ is the usual one, which is defined as the intersection product $\pi([\gamma]) \times [c]$ of the Poincaré dual $\pi([\gamma]) \in I^{\mathfrak{o}}H(X)$ of $[\gamma] \in H^*(X)$ with $[c] \in I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X)$. This follows from inspection of the definition of the intersection product of two transversal chains [16, §2.1] and the fact that the Poincaré dual chain $\pi(\gamma) = \gamma \cap [X]$ of $\gamma \in C^*(\mathcal{T})$, which is a union of simplices of the barycentric subdivision of $\mathcal{T}$, is transversal to all strata, cf. [4, § 3.B].

Of course, if some Lie group G acts on X compatibly with the stratification, we also want the complex of intersection chains to be a $C(G)$-module. If G is not finite, then a triangulation is not convenient. For this reason we switch to singular chains and use a variant of King's definition of intersection homology [23]:

Call a singular simplex $\sigma\colon \Delta_n \to X$ allowable if the inverse image of X_k is contained in the $(k-\mathfrak{p}_k)$-codimensional back face of σ for all k. The graded set V of allowable singular simplices is again stable under the last face map. Imitation of the above definition leads to the subcomplex $C(V \subset X) \subset C(X)$ of normalised allowable chains with allowable boundaries. It is a $C(X)$-comodule for the same reason as before. In addition, any degeneration $s_i\sigma$ of an allowable simplex σ is again allowable. (This of course is true for a flaglike triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ as well.) Hence if some Lie group G acts on X compatibly with the stratification, we can use the shuffle map to define a $C(G)$-module structure on $C(V \subset X)$ as in Section 2.4.

Let Y be a manifold and give $X \times Y$ the stratification induced by that of X. Since the Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps (2.2.2) for the pair (X, Y) only apply $\tilde{\partial}$ and degeneracy maps to simplices from X, they restrict to maps between $C(V \subset X) \otimes C(Y)$ and $C(V \times Y \subset X \times Y)$, and properties (2.2.1) still hold. We therefore get a Künneth theorem for $H(V \times Y \subset X \times Y)$.[3] If $\mathcal{U}$ is a triangulation of Y, then the same holds for $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \otimes C(\mathcal{U})$ and $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}$ denotes the vertex-ordered triangulation of $X \times Y$ obtained by triangulating each $\sigma \times \tau$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{U}$ as done by the shuffle map. Note that $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}$ is again flaglike.

Since the vertices of any simplex in a flaglike triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of X are ordered, we have a canonical chain map $\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}\colon I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \to C(V \subset X)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The induced map $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X) \to H(V \subset X)$ is an isomorphism of $H(X)$-comodules and does not depend on the flaglike triangulation of X.*

Proof. The map $\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}$ is clearly a morphism of comodules, equivariant with respect to the quasi-isomorphism of coalgebras $C(\mathcal{T}) \to C(X)$. Hence the induced map in homology is a morphism of $H(X)$-comodules.

Let us show the independence of the triangulation next: Let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ be two flaglike triangulations of X. Since they possess a common flaglike refinement (e.g., the barycentric subdivision of any refinement), we may assume $\mathcal{T}'$ to be a refinement of $\mathcal{T}$. The same example shows that we may also assume that the supporting simplices σ_1, $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ of two vertices v_1, $v_2 \in \mathcal{T}'$ satisfy $\sigma_1 \subset \sigma_2$ if $v_1 \le v_2$.

Denote by i the canonical inclusion $I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \hookrightarrow I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}')$ which sends each n-simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ to the sum of the n-simplices in $\mathcal{T}'$ contained in the support of σ. Then $H(i)$ is an isomorphism by [17]. It therefore suffices to construct for each cycle $c \in I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T})$ a chain $b \in C(V \subset X)$ such that $db = \alpha_{\mathcal{T}}(c) - \alpha_{\mathcal{T}'}(i(c))$. This can be done analogously to the construction of β in [17]. Here it is crucial that $C(V \subset X)$ is a subcomplex of the *normalised* chain complex of X; one also needs the condition "$v_1 \le v_2 \implies \sigma_1 \subset \sigma_2$" mentioned above.

In order to prove that the map $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(\mathcal{T}) \to H(V \subset X)$ is an isomorphism, we verify the conditions for King's comparison theorem [23, Thm. 10]:

(1) The usual proof of the exactness of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence by barycentric subdivision (with explicit homotopy as in [32, Appendix 1]) works for $H(V \subset X)$ if the cone operator *prepends* the new vertex to a simplex.

---

[3] We will see in a minute that $H(V \times Y \subset X \times Y)$ is the usual intersection homology of $X \times Y$. We therefore prove the conjecture made in [23, p. 152].

(2) For $Y, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}$ as above and W the set of allowable simplices in $X \times Y$, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T}) \otimes C(\mathcal{U}) \arrow[r, "\nabla"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \alpha_{\mathcal{U}}"'] & I^{\mathfrak{p}}C(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}}"] \\ C(V \subset X) \otimes C(Y) \arrow[r, "\nabla"] & C(W \subset X \times Y). \end{tikzcd}$$

Here both shuffle maps and $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}$ induce isomorphisms in homology, hence if $\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}$ does so, too, then also $\alpha_{\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}}$. Since $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U}$ is flaglike, the latter map is $I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X) \to H(V \subset X)$.

(3) The usual computation of the intersection homology of a cone carries over to $H(V \subset X)$ if one adds the apex to a simplex as the *last* vertex.

(4) is trivial.

(5) follows from the Künneth theorem discussed above. □

A payoff of the use of singular intersection chains is that this does not require the space to be finite-dimensional – not even for the $H^*(X)$-action. The only ingredients we need are a decreasing filtration of X by (possible countably many) subsets $X = X_0 \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots$ and a "generalised perversity" $\mathfrak{p} \colon \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$ with only $\mathfrak{p}_0 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{j+1} \leq \mathfrak{p}_j + 1$. But in this generality one certainly loses the independence of the filtration and the "Poincaré" duality between complementary intersection homology groups, though one might hope to define a cohomological "intersection cup product".

If X is a G-space with a G-stable filtration, we get an induced filtration $EG \times_G X = EG \times_G X_0 \subset EG \times_G X_1 \subset \cdots$ of the Borel construction, hence a set of allowable simplices V_G in $EG \times_G X$, and we can define $H^G(V \subset X) = H(V_G \subset EG \times_G X)$ without using finite-dimensional approximations to $EG \to BG$. We will do this in the simplicial setting in Section 5.3. For the moment being, we only note the identity

$$H^G(V \subset X) = I^{\mathfrak{p}}H^G(X) := \lim_{n \to \infty} I^{\mathfrak{p}}H(X \underset{G}{\times} EG_n),$$

where the EG_n is an n-universal approximation to EG. This is a consequence of the following more general observation:

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be the direct limit of an increasing sequence of subspaces $X_{(n)}$ such that points are closed in X. Suppose that X has a filtration as above and give each $X_{(n)}$ the induced filtration. Then for each generalised perversity $\mathfrak{p}$ one has*

$$H(V \subset X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(V_{(n)} \subset X_{(n)}).$$

Proof. Since direct limits commute with homology, it suffices to show that $C(V \subset X)$ is the direct limit of the $C(V_{(n)} \subset X_{(n)})$. This follows from the fact that, being compact, the image of a singular simplex $\sigma \colon \Delta_k \to X$ already lies in some $X_{(n)}$. □

5.2. **Allowable subsets.** We now abstract from the preceding discussion and define an **allowable subset** $V \subset X$ of a space (i.e., simplicial set) X to be a collection of subsets $V_n \subset X_n$ which is stable under all degeneracy maps and under the last face map $\tilde{\partial}$. If X is a G-space, we also require all V_n to be G_n-stable. A simplex in X

is called **V-allowable** if it lies in V. A chain in X is called **V-allowable** if it is a linear combination of V-allowable simplices. We denote by $C(V \subset X) \subset C(X)$ the subcomplex of normalised V-allowable chains with V-allowable boundaries. Analogous to the previous section, $C(V \subset X)$ is a right $C(X)$-comodule, and also a left $C(G)$-module if X is a left G-space.

The definition of $C(V \subset X; N) \subset C(X; N)$ with coefficients in an R-module N is analogous. Note that $C(V \subset X; N) \neq C(V \subset X) \otimes N$ in general, but equality holds if N is free over R.

Let $W \subset Y$ be another allowable subset and $f\colon X \to Y$ a map of spaces. If f maps V-allowable simplices to W-allowable ones, then it induces a chain map $f_*\colon C(V \subset X) \to C(W \subset Y)$. The analogous statement holds for homotopies. We call such maps **allowable**.

5.3. **The main theorem for allowable subsets.** Let B be a space, $\tau\colon B_{>0} \to G$ a twisting function and $V \subset F$ an allowable subset of a left G-space F. Then $B \times_\tau V = (B_n \times V_n)_n \subset B \times_\tau F$ is allowable because V is G-stable. Likewise, if $W \subset B$ is allowable, then so is $W \times_\tau F \subset B \times_\tau F$.

This implies that the simplicial Koszul functors $\boldsymbol{t}$, $\boldsymbol{h}$ extend to allowable subsets of G-spaces and spaces over BG, respectively. Moreover, the morphisms $\boldsymbol{P}_X$ and $\boldsymbol{I}_Y$ defined by (2.8.4) are allowable, hence restrict to maps

$$\boldsymbol{ht}(V \subset X) \to V \subset X \qquad \text{and} \qquad W \subset Y \to \boldsymbol{th}(W \subset Y),$$

which are quasi-isomorphisms because the homotopy inverses as well as the homotopies given in the proof of are allowable, too.

The natural transformations Ψ and Φ from Sections 3.2 and 3.3 are also well-defined in this new setting: The map Ψ_X applies only degeneracy maps to simplices of a T-space X, and Φ_Y only the last face map to simplices of a space Y over BT.

In order to prove the generalisation of to allowable subsets, we finally need an appropriate version of the Leray–Serre theorem:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $B \times_\tau F$ be a fibre bundle and let $W \subset B$ be allowable. If $H(F)$ is free over R, then the filtration of $W \subset B$ by the skeletons of the base leads to a spectral sequence with*

$$E^2_{pq}(W \subset B, F) = H_p(W \subset B; H_q(F)).$$

This is an isomorphism of $H(G)$-modules if $F = G$.

The reason for assuming $H(F)$ to be free is to assure that $C(W \subset B; H_q(F))$ equals $C(W \subset B) \otimes H_q(F)$.

Proof. The simplicial Leray–Serre theorem as described in Section 2.6 can be deduced from the twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem [30]. (The algebraic essence of it [6] is nowadays called the "basic perturbation lemma".) All this goes through in the present setting:

As discussed in Section 5.1, all three Eilenberg–Mac Lane maps restrict to maps between $C(W \times F \subset B \times F)$ and $C(W \subset B) \otimes C(F)$. The complexes $C(W \times F \subset B \times F)$ and $C(W \times_\tau F \subset B \times_\tau F)$ have the same underlying graded R-modules because the allowable simplices are the same and the difference $q = d_\tau - d$ of the two differentials maps allowable chains to allowable chains. This implies in particular that q is a well-defined endomorphism of $C(W \times F \subset B \times F)$. Application of the basic perturbation lemma now shows that $C(W \times_\tau F \subset B \times_\tau F)$ is homotopy equivalent to $C(W \subset B) \otimes_u C(F)$ for the same twisting cochain $u = u(\tau)$ as usual. This homotopy equivalence is not one of $C(B)$-comodules any more, but still one of $C(G)$-modules if $F = G$. Filtering $C(W \times_\tau F \subset B \times_\tau F)$ as in Section 2.6 and $C(W \subset B) \otimes_u C(F)$ by the degree of the first factor gives the result, cf. [28, §32]. □

Theorem 5.4.

(1) *Let X be a T-space and $V \subset X$ allowable. Then Ψ_X restricts to a quasi-isomorphism of weak* $\mathbf{S}$*-comodules*

$$\Psi_X \colon \mathbf{t}C(V \subset X) \to C\big(\boldsymbol{t}(V \subset X)\big).$$

(2) *Let Y be a space over BT and $W \subset Y$ allowable. Then Φ_Y restricts to a quasi-isomorphism of* $\mathbf{\Lambda}$*-modules*

$$\Phi_Y \colon C\big(\boldsymbol{h}(W \subset Y)\big) \to \mathbf{h}C(W \subset Y).$$

Proof. For Φ the proof of carries over without changes if one uses the new version of the Leray–Serre theorem proven above.

In order to show that Ψ_X is a quasi-isomorphism for all allowable $V \subset X$, we proceed in a somewhat roundabout way: We note first that it is sufficient to prove the assertion for fibre products. This follows from the commutative diagram of weak $\mathbf{S}$-comodules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{t}C\big(\boldsymbol{ht}(V \subset X)\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\boldsymbol{ht}X}"'] & \mathbf{t}C(V \subset X) \arrow[d, "\Psi_X"] \\
C\big(\boldsymbol{tht}(V \subset X)\big) \arrow[r] & C\big(\boldsymbol{t}(V \subset X)\big),
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose horizontal arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the remarks above together with .

For $V \subset X = \boldsymbol{h}(W \subset Y)$ we use the naturality of our constructions with respect to the morphism of groups $T \to 1$. We write $\boldsymbol{h} = \boldsymbol{h}_T$ in order to distinguish it from the trivial functor $\boldsymbol{h}_1$, and similarly for all other functors. The commutative diagram of chain maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C\big(\boldsymbol{t}_T\boldsymbol{h}_T(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[r] & C\big(\boldsymbol{t}_T\boldsymbol{h}_1(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[r] & C\big(\boldsymbol{t}_1\boldsymbol{h}_1(W \subset Y)\big) \\
\mathbf{t}_T C\big(\boldsymbol{h}_T(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\Psi_{T,\boldsymbol{h}_T Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{t}_T\Phi_{T,Y}"'] & \mathbf{t}_T C\big(\boldsymbol{h}_1(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\Psi_{T,\boldsymbol{h}_1 Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{t}_T\Phi_{1,Y}"'] & \mathbf{t}_1 C\big(\boldsymbol{h}_1(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[u, "\Psi_{1,\boldsymbol{h}_1 Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{t}_1\Phi_{1,Y}"'] \\
\mathbf{t}_T\mathbf{h}_T C(W \subset Y) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{t}_T\mathbf{h}_1 C(W \subset Y) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{t}_1\mathbf{h}_1 C(W \subset Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

condenses to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C\big(\boldsymbol{t}\boldsymbol{h}(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[dr] & \\
\mathbf{t}C\big(\boldsymbol{h}(W \subset Y)\big) \arrow[u, "\Psi_{\boldsymbol{h}Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{t}\Phi_Y"'] & C(W \subset Y). \\
\mathbf{th}C(W \subset Y) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since we know all maps but $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{h}Y} = \Psi_X$ to induce isomorphisms in homology, this map must do so, too. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Using the same notation as in the theorem and moreover the differentials* (4.1.2) *and* (4.2.1)*, we have:*

(1) *The map*

$$H^*(\Psi_X)\colon H^*\big(\boldsymbol{t}(V \subset X)\big) \to \mathbf{S}^* \otimes H^*(V \subset X)$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{S}^*$*-modules.*

(2) *The map*

$$H^*(\Phi_Y)\colon H^*(W \subset Y) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^* \to H^*\big(\boldsymbol{h}(W \subset Y)\big)$$

is an isomorphism of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$*-modules.*

REFERENCES

[1] C. Allday and V. Puppe. On a conjecture of Goresky, Kottwitz and MacPherson. *Canad. J. Math.* **51** (1999), 3–9.

[2] M. G. Barratt, V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, and J. C. Moore. On semisimplicial fibre bundles. *Am. J. Math.* **81** (1959), 639–657.

[3] I. N. Bernšteĭn, I. M. Gel'fand, and S. I. Gel'fand. Algebraic vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}^n$ and problems of linear algebra. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **12** (1978), 66–67. English translation *Funkt. Anal. Appl.* **12** (1978), 212–214.

[4] J.-P. Brasselet. Aspects combinatoires des singularités. Pages 74–127 in: Lê D. T., K. Saito, and B. Teissier (eds.), *Singularity Theory (Trieste, 1991)*. World Scientific, Singapore 1995.

[5] E. H. Brown. Twisted tensor products I. *Ann. Math.* **69** (1959), 223–246.

[6] R. Brown. The twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem. Pages 33–37 in: *Simposio di Topologia (Messina, 1964)*. Edizioni Oderisi, Gubbio 1965.

[7] A. Dold. *Lectures on algebraic topology*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren **200**. Springer, Berlin 1980.

[8] X. Dousson and F. Sergeraert. Kenzo: a symbolic software for effective homology computation. Available at `http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~sergerar/Kenzo/`, 1998.

[9] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane. On the groups $H(\pi, n)$, II. *Ann. Math.* **60** (1954), 49–139.

[10] S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore. Homology and fibrations I: Coalgebras, cotensor product and its derived functors. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **40** (1966), 199–236.

[11] G. Fløystad. Koszul duality and equivalences of categories. ArXiv e-print math.RA/0012263.

[12] M. Franz. *Koszul duality for tori.* Dissertation, Universität Konstanz 2001.

[13] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 203–272.

[14] R. González-Díaz and P. Real. A combinatorial method for computing Steenrod squares. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1999), 89–108.

[15] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson. Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem. *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 25–83.

[16] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology* **19** (1980), 135–162.

[17] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. Simplicial intersection homology. Appendix to: R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen. Elementary construction of perverse sheaves. *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 403–435.

[18] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim and J. P. May. On the theory and applications of differential torsion products. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **142** (1974).

[19] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory.* Springer, Berlin 1999.

[20] C. E. Hood and J. D. S. Jones. Some algebraic properties of cyclic homology groups. *J. K-Theory* **1** (1987), 361–384.

[21] D. H. Husemoller, J. C. Moore, and J. Stasheff. Differential homological algebra and homogeneous spaces. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **5** (1974), 113–185.

[22] J. D. S. Jones. Cyclic homology and equivariant homology. *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 403–423.

[23] H. C. King. Topological invariance of intersection homology without sheaves. *Topology Appl.* **20** (1985), 149–160.

[24] I. Kříž and J. P. May. Operads, algebras, modules and motives. *Astérisque* **233** (1995).

[25] K. Lamotke. *Semisimpliziale algebraische Topologie*, Grundlehren **147**. Springer, Berlin 1968.

[26] J.-L. Loday. La renaissance des opérades. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95. *Astérisque* **237** (1996), 47–74.

[27] S. Mac Lane. *Homology*, 3rd ed., Grundlehren **114**. Springer, Berlin 1975.

[28] J. P. May. *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Math. Stud. **11**. Van Nostrand, Princeton 1968.

[29] J. McCleary. *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **58**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2001.

[30] Shih Weishu. Homologie des espaces fibrés. *Publ. Math. IHES* **13** (1962).

[31] V. A. Smirnov. *Simplicial and operad methods in algebraic topology*, Transl. Math. Monographs **198**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[32] J. W. Vick. *Homology theory*, Pure Appl. Math. **53**. Academic Press, New York 1973.

Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble I, BP 74, 38402 Saint-Martin d'Hères, France

E-mail address: `matthias.franz@uni-konstanz.de`